

Discussion of QE Papers

Monika Piazzesi
Stanford & NBER

Feb 25, 2011

Quantitative Easing

- very interesting!



Quantitative Easing Explained

What the Federal Reserve is up to, and how we got here. Created by: Omid Malekan (www.omidmalekan.com)

by [malekanoms](#) | 3 months ago | **4,265,221 views**

- does it matter what the Fed buys/sells?
Treasuries vs. Mortgage Backed Securities, Corporate Bonds etc.
- what is the effect on
 - ▶ the overall level of interest rates?
 - ▶ long vs. short
 - ▶ real vs. nominal
 - ▶ safe vs. risky
 - ▶ future interest rates, expected returns

QE papers

- mostly OLS regression evidence
- regress changes in interest rates on
contemporaneous/lagged Fed purchases
- empirical findings (AK, HW, KVJ):
negative regression coefficients!

regression coefficient larger (in absolute value)
if interest rate

- ▶ longer (AK, HW)
- ▶ real, not nominal (KVJ)
- ▶ safer (KVJ as in previous KVJ)

if purchase of risky (KVJ)

purchases predict excess returns, over 75% R2 (HW)

- findings complement/confirm existing evidence:

Kidwell 1983, Longstaff 2002, Bernanke, Reinhart & Sack 2004,
Taylor & Williams 2009, KVJ 2010, Greenwood & Vayanos 2010,
Adrian et al. 2010, Hancock & Passmore 2011, Swanson 2011 etc.

Theoretical motivation for QE papers

- Vayanos & Vila (2009), discrete time version in Hamilton & Wu
- myopic mean-variance investors ("arbitrageurs")
- other investors ("preferred habitat", but details not important)
- empirical work based on Euler equations of arbitrageurs

Basic portfolio choice

- myopic mean-variance investors

$$E_t(r_{t+1}^w) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \text{var}_t(r_{t+1}^w)$$

- ▶ γ is risk aversion

- return on wealth

$$r_{t+1}^w = r_t^f + \alpha_t' r_{t+1}^e$$

- r_{t+1}^e = excess return on long bonds
with mean $E_t(r_{t+1}^e)$ and variance Σ_t
- optimal portfolio without constraints:

$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{\gamma} \Sigma_t^{-1} E_t(r_{t+1}^e)$$

- Euler equation for excess returns on long bonds

$$E_t(r_{t+1}^e) = \gamma \Sigma_t \alpha_t = \gamma \text{cov}(r_{t+1}^e, r_{t+1}^w)$$

Euler equation and "supply effects"

- Euler equation for excess returns on long bonds

$$E_t [r_{t+1}^e] = \gamma \Sigma_t \alpha_t = \gamma \text{cov} (r_{t+1}^e, r_{t+1}^w)$$

- bonds with large wealth shares have high expected excess returns
- wealth shares forecast excess returns
 - regress excess returns on lagged bond positions
find negative coefficient!
- For long/risky bonds, $E_t [r_{t+1}^e] \approx$ long/risky - short spreads
- Drop in wealth share on long or risky bonds = lower spreads!
 - regress change in spreads on Fed purchases of long or risky bonds
find negative coefficient

Euler equation and "supply effects"

- Euler equation for excess returns on long bonds

$$E_t [r_{t+1}^e] = \gamma \Sigma_t \alpha_t = \gamma \text{cov} (r_{t+1}^e, r_{t+1}^w)$$


- important:
 - ▶ Euler equation holds in equilibrium regardless of rest of economy
 - ▶ no assumptions on preferred habitat investors needed
 - ▶ only assumption: \exists unconstrained mean-variance investors
- Roll critique of CAPM applies here:
 - want comprehensive measure of wealth

More ambitious test

- Piazzesi & Schneider 2008, "Bond Positions, Expectations & the Yield Curve", Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta Working Paper
- comprehensive measure of wealth, forward-looking Epstein-Zin investors
- long bond shares don't move much
- effects tiny once comprehensive measure of wealth is used

Back to the regression evidence

- few observations: HW 1990-2007, KVJ, AW are event studies standard errors??
- right-hand side variables:



Conclusion

- very interesting agenda
- good first regression-based results with QE1, QE2 data
- want much stronger connection between a model with bond positions and data
 - ▶ need to get away from CAPM type specifications
 - ▶ who is trading? data on their exposures, motivate their objective function, test their Euler equations
 - ▶ helps with economic interpretation of results (endogeneity), small samples, etc.