Comments on

No-Arbitrage Taylor Rules by A. Ang, S. Dong, and M. Piazzesi

Andrew T. Levin Federal Reserve Board

March 2005

The views expressed are solely the responsibility of the discussant, and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or of any other person associated with the Federal Reserve System.

Affine Term Structure Models

• Term Structure:
$$P_t^{(n)} = E(m_{t+1}P_{t+1}^{(n-1)})$$

• Pricing Kernel:
$$m_{t+1} = \exp(-r_t - 0.5\lambda_t'\lambda_t - \lambda_t\varepsilon_{t+1})$$

• Short Rate:
$$r_t = \delta_0 + \delta_1 X_t$$

• Price of Risk:
$$\lambda_t = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 X_t$$

• State Variables:
$$X_t = \mu + \Phi X_{t-1} + \Sigma \varepsilon_t$$

→ Enforces consistency between cross-section of bond yields and temporal evolution of pricing kernel

(cf. Diebold, Piazzesi, and Rudebusch AER 2005)

Recent Macro-Finance Literature

- Ang, Piazzesi & Wei (2004) use 3 observed factors (short rate, term spread, and GDP growth); analyze forecasting performance
- Ang & Bekaert (2004) use 1 observed factor (inflation) and 2 latent factors, specifies regime-switching process for X_t
- Kim (2004) uses 3 latent factors (one identified as expected inflation); incorporates actual inflation in estimating the model; compares with survey data and TIPS
- D'Amico, Kim & Wei (2004) use 3 latent factors; incorporate actual inflation and indexed bond yields in estimating the model

The Recent Literature (contd.)

- Rudebusch & Wu (2004) use 2 observed factors (GDP growth and inflation) and 2 latent factors that can be interpreted in terms of equilibrium real rate (r^*) and inflation objective (π^*)
- Hördahl, Tristani & Vestin (2004) use 3 observed factors (short rate, GDP growth, and inflation) and 1 latent factor (π^*) in conjunction with structural VAR for X_t
- Bekaert, Cho & Moreno (2004) use 3 observed factors (short rate, output gap, and inflation) and 2 unobserved factors in conjunction with New Keynesian model for X_t

Given the procrustean bed upon which we write, we must apologize to all whose work we cannot cite." (DPR 2005)

Model Specification

- State vector includes 2 observed factors (inflation & GDP growth) and 1 latent factor (interpreted as policy shock)
- Therefore, short rate equation can be interpreted as a policy reaction function (although <u>not</u> as a Taylor-style rule):

$$\mathbf{r}_{t} = \delta_{0} + \delta_{g} \mathbf{g}_{t} + \delta_{\pi} \pi_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{t}$$

• By imposing additional restrictions, short rate equation can be interpreted as a *forward-looking* policy reaction function:

$$\mathbf{r}_{t} = \delta_{0} + \delta_{1} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{g}_{t+k}) + \delta_{2} \mathbf{E}(\pi_{t+k}) + \mathbf{u}_{t}$$

Estimation Methodology

- Bayesian estimation using MCMC with Gibbs sampling
- Latent factor appears to be close to a random walk process with no relation to macro variables ($\hat{\phi}_3 = 0.931$ with SE 0.032).
- "Given that there must be some underlying economic relation between bond prices and macro variables,....Bayesian estimation avoids this stochastic singularity by *a suitable choice of priors*."
- Diagnostic checks needed to confirm validity of estimated model (Bayes Factors, out-of-sample forecast performance)

Is the Taylor Principle Satisfied?

• Benchmark "Taylor Rule"

		δ_0	$\delta_{ m g}$	δ_{π}
	OLS	0.01	0.04	0.64
1952:2 -		(0.001)	0.07)	(0.08)
2002:4	Model	0.01	0.09	0.32
		(0.002)	(0.06)	(0.14)
	OLS	0.01	0.24	0.61
1983:1 -		(0.002)	(0.10)	(0.13)
2002:4	Model	0.01	0.16	0.25
		(0.001)	(0.11)	(0.11)

Checking the Taylor Principle (contd.)

- Forward-Looking Rules: Yes if horizon $k \ge 8$ (full sample)
- <u>Combined Forward-Backward Rules</u> (full sample)

	δ_0	\mathbf{r}_{t-1}	$\mathbf{g}_{t+\mathbf{k}}$	g _{t-1}	π_{t+k}	π_{t-1}
k = 1	-0.002	0.86	0.15	-0.01	0.51	-0.07
	(0.003)	(0.057)	(0.22)	(0.03)	0.15)	(0.15)
k = 4	-0.007	0.69	0.50	-0.01	0.998	-0.05
	(0.006)	(0.16)	(0.42)	(0.02)	(0.29)	(0.07)

Implications of Real-Time Data

$$r_{t} = \rho r_{t-1} + (1-\rho)(\alpha + \beta \pi_{t+1|t} + \gamma y_{t|t}) + \varepsilon_{t}$$

	ρ	α	β	γ
1966:1-79:2	0.75	2.9	0.8	1.4
Ex Post(HP)	(0.07)	(1.4)	(0.3)	(0.5)
1966:1-79:2	0.68	2.0	1.5	0.2
Real Time	(0.07)	(1.3)	(0.4)	(0.2)
1979:3 -	0.77	1.2	1.9	0.2
1995:4	(0.10)	(2.1)	(0.6)	(0.2)

(Orphanides AER 2002; JME 2003; JMCB 2003)

Forecast-Based Rules with Survey Expectations

$$r_{t} = \rho_{1} r_{t-1} + \rho_{2} r_{t-2} + (1 - \rho_{1} - \rho_{2})(\alpha + \beta \pi_{t+4|t} + \gamma y_{t|t}) + \varepsilon_{t}$$

	ρ_1	ρ_2	α	β	γ
1979:3 -	0.77	-0.04	0.3	2.11	0.1
1995:4	(0.08)	(0.10)	(1.6)	(0.5)	(0.2)
1966:1 -	1.05	-0.31	3.2	1.13	0.41
1979:2	(0.15)	(0.14)	(1.4)	(0.34)	(0.18)
1966-73 &	1.2	-0.46	3.8	0.91	0.41
1977-79	(0.2)	(0.17)	(1.3)	(0.34)	(0.25)

Combining Partial Adjustment & Serially-Correlated Errors

(from English, Nelson & Sack BEP 2003)

b_0	1.02
	(1.04)
b_π	1.83
	(5.64)
b_y	0.85
	(4.59)
λ	0.58
	(7.05)
ρ	0.75
	(5.91)
R^2	0.97
••	0.77

Estimated using quarterly data from 1987Q1 to 2001Q4.

Suggested Refinements

- Sample period with stable policy regime: 1987-2005
- Monthly frequency
- Short rate as observed factor
- Smoothed or core inflation in policy rule
- Policy rule should include level of output gap, unemployment rate, or capacity utilization
- Real-time data and survey expectations

Long-Run Expected Inflation (Consensus Economics 6-10 years ahead)

