Presentation to a Community Leaders' Luncheon Salt Lake City, Utah For delivery September 7, 2001, at 12:45 PM Mountain Time, 11:45 AM Pacific, 2:45 PM Eastern By Robert T. Parry, President and CEO of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

Three Questions about the Economic Slowdown

- I. Good afternoon. It's a pleasure to be here.
 - A. Today I'd like to talk about the economy—
 - 1. —both here in the West
 - 2. and in the nation.
- II. Since the middle of last year, the national economy, has shifted into a lower gear.
 - A. Growth rates have gone from boiling hot to barely simmering.
 - 1. In fact, though we're not technically in a recession, it certainly feels like one, with last quarter's growth rate a mere two-tenths of a percent.
 - 2. And some sectors actually *are* in recession
 - a —notably, manufacturing, and especially high-tech manufacturing.
- III. The high-tech slowdown has had especially big impacts here in the West, where this sector is so important.
 - A. In California, nearly 11,000 high-tech manufacturing jobs have been lost this year, equaling about 3-1/2% of the total.
 - 1. Moreover, in the San Francisco Bay Area, where the dot-com implosion has been most severe,
 - a the disappearance of large numbers of computer service companies and jobs has produced noticeable softening in local real estate markets.

- 2. Similar impacts are evident in other high-tech centers, such as Phoenix and Portland,
 - a and job losses have spread to other cyclically sensitive sectors in the District, such as construction.
- B. Utah has not been immune to these trends,
 - 1. with about 1600 manufacturing jobs and 5000 construction jobs lost in the state so far this year.
 - 2. As a result, total employment in the state is essentially unchanged,
 - a and unemployment has risen somewhat.
 - 3. Here in Salt Lake, the slowdown is especially evident in commercial real estate markets,
 - a where vacancy rates on office space and industrial property are up substantially in the second quarter.
 - b And conditions aren't helped, of course, by the latest news from Gateway.
 - i I understand they plan to shut down the local plant and lay off all 660 employees by the end of October.
 - 4. But some other indicators *are* more positive in Utah and Salt Lake.
 - a Personal income statewide grew at a good clip in the first three months of this year.
 - b The demand for housing has remained solid,
 - i with the level of sales and prices continuing to grow at a moderate pace through June.
 - c And labor markets remain quite tight for now.
 - i For example, the seasonally-adjusted unemployment rate is still below 4% in Salt Lake City,

- (a) which suggests that workers who lose jobs are likely to find new ones in short order.
- C. So despite the high-tech slowdown, underlying strength is evident in our region.
 - 1. And depending on developments in the national and world economies, the District is poised to resume a strong growth path.
- IV. So now let me turn to conditions in the national economy and monetary policy.
 - A. Here I'll organize my comments around three questions.
 - 1. What caused the slowdown?
 - 2. Are we likely to slip into a recession?
 - 3. What kinds of risks are in the road ahead?
 - B. Let me take these questions one at a time.
- V. First, why did the economy slow at all?
 - A. Part of the answer has to do with the imbalances that prompted the Fed to tighten monetary policy beginning in mid-1999.
 - 1. By that time,
 - a the economy had been growing at phenomenal rates for several years.
 - b In fact, from mid-1995 to mid-2000, annual growth averaged a very high 4-1/4 percent.
 - c The unemployment rate fell to a thirty-year low of just under four percent.
 - d And the inflation rate actually edged *down*.
 - 2. This is a rare set of circumstances.
 - a And most likely it's due to a surge in technological advances—

- b —information technologies, biotechnology, communication technology, and the like.
- c These advances helped boost productivity growth to more than double what it had been for the previous 20 years or so.
- d And that faster productivity growth rate means that the economy has been able to expand at a faster rate for several years
 - i —without igniting inflationary pressures.
- 3. But by mid-1999—even *with* optimistic assumptions about trend productivity growth
 - a —it looked as if the economy was growing faster than its sustainable rate.
 - b Furthermore, labor and product markets appeared to be tight.
 - c And, in the financial markets, real corporate interest rates were rising significantly
 - i —which suggested some difficulty in continuing to fund all the demand for investment.
 - d So we were seeing signs that inflation could become a concern after all.
- 4. As a result, the Fed tried to slow demand down a bit by raising short-term interest rates gradually from mid-1999 through mid-2000.
 - a This was felt directly in credit markets,
 - b so that businesses and consumers faced higher borrowing costs.
- B. On top of this, though, some other factors worked to raise firms' cost of capital even more and to put a strain on their budgets.
 - 1. One factor was the slide in the stock market.
 - a Part of it also may be related to a re-evaluation of the long-run profitability of many high-tech firms.

- b Now, don't get me wrong. I still think we're not near the end of the surge in technological innovation that has propelled the economy since the mid-1990s.
- c It's just that—even with this surge—markets may have gotten carried away.
- 2. Another factor was the unexpected increases in the prices of oil, natural gas, and electricity.
 - a For example, the price of oil nearly tripled between early 1999 and late 2000.
- 3. A third factor is the exchange value of the dollar.
 - a It's been quite strong,
 - b and that has reduced domestic production by lowering our exports and raising our imports.
- 4. Finally, businesses faced what I'll call "the overhang problem."
 - a For years, many firms had made big investments in information processing equipment and software—averaging twenty percent a year from 1996 to 2000.
 - b After all that investment, they had accumulated large amounts of capital equipment already.
 - c And they're just not in the market to buy more now.
- C. With all these factors together,
 - 1. It's not surprising that firms have pulled in their horns,
 - 2. and cut back on investment, hiring, and production.
- VI. Now, to the second question—are we likely to slip into a recession?
 - A. Obviously, there's no way to know for sure. But my best estimate at this point is that there will be
 - 1. a modest pickup in activity by the end of this year,
 - 2. with more acceptable growth rates in 2002.

- B. A number of factors point in this direction. First, the Fed has cut short-term interest rates seven times since January.
 - 1. The federal funds rate now stands at 3-1/2 percent, compared to 6-1/2 percent back then.
 - 2. And though some have expressed concern about monetary policy's effectiveness this time, we *are* seeing signs of easing in credit markets.
 - a Short and intermediate rates—the rates we associate with car loans and home equity loans, for example—are down substantially.
 - i And some interest-sensitive sectors, like housing and consumer durables are holding up pretty well.
 - b In addition, with lower rates on variable-rate mortgages, many homeowners now have more money in their pockets to spend on other things.
- C. The second source of stimulus is the tax rebates. They can be expected to add strength to the economy before the year's end—
 - 1. —and to continue to boost growth in 2002.
- D. Third, energy prices have turned around this year.
 - 1. For example,
 - a the price of imported oil has fallen by about 20 percent since last November.
 - b And the price of natural gas has fallen dramatically.
 - 2. That's given firms and households more purchasing power.
- E. Fourth, the "overhang problem" is one that will correct itself with time.
 - 1. At some point, the stocks of these assets will get to low enough levels that firms will need to start spending on them again.
- VII. Now, what are the risks on the road ahead?

- A. One is that consumers might pull in *their* horns more than they have.
 - 1. The fact is, despite the drop in stock prices and the general economic slowdown, consumer spending has held up reasonably well.
 - a Indeed, it's the main reason the economy has *not* slipped into recession.
 - 2. What might undermine consumer spending?
 - 3. Two candidates would be
 - a a slide in the stock market
 - b or a slide in consumer confidence.
- B. Another risk is the global nature of the slowdown.
 - 1. Part of the slowing in the world economy is due to our own slowing
 - a —and that feeds back to us through a smaller demand for our exports.
 - 2. But part of it also is due to home-grown problems
 - a in countries like Japan and Argentina, for example,
 - b and, to a lesser extent, in Europe.
- VIII. Now let me wrap this up by concluding on a more positive note.
 - A. After our most recent meeting of the Federal Open Market Committee, we did say that the risks at the moment appear to be mainly on the downside.
 - B. However, this economy still has a lot going for it.
 - 1. The ongoing advances in technology have boosted our trend productivity growth rate.
 - 2. And that's a real strength. It means that longer-term our economy has a greater potential

- a to grow,
- b to create new jobs and businesses,
- c and to produce improvements in our standard of living.

###