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## Financial and Macroeconomic Connectedness

- Market Risk, Portfolio Concentration Risk (return connectedness)
- Credit Risk (default connectedness)
- Counterparty Risk, Gridlock Risk (bilateral and multilateral contractual connectedness)
- Systemic Risk (system-wide connectedness)
- Business Cycle Risk (local or global real output connectedness)


## A Natural Financial/Economic Connectedness Question:

What fraction of the H -step-ahead prediction-error variance of variable $i$ is due to shocks in variable $j, j \neq i$ ?

Non-own elements of the variance decomposition: $d_{i j}^{H}, j \neq i$
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## Reading and Web Materials

Two Papers:
Diebold, F.X. and Yilmaz, K. (2014), "On the Network Topology of Variance Decompositions: Measuring the Connectedness of Financial Firms," Journal of Econometrics, 182, 119-134.

Demirer, M., Diebold, F.X. and Yilmaz, K. (2014), "Estimating Global Bank Network Connectedness," Manuscript, in progress.

Book in Press:
Diebold, F.X. and Yilmaz, K. (2015), Financial and Macroeconomic Connectedness: A Network Approach to Measurement and Monitoring, Oxford University Press, in press. With K. Yilmaz.
www.FinancialConnectedness.org

## Network Representation: Graph and Matrix



$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Symmetric adjacency matrix A $A_{i j}=1$ if nodes $i, j$ linked
$A_{i j}=0$ otherwise

## Network Connectedness: The Degree Distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Degree of node } i, d_{i} \text { : } \\
& \qquad d_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Discrete degree distribution on $0, \ldots, N-1$
Mean degree, $E(d)$, is the key connectedness measure
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Network Representation II (Weighted, Directed)


$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & .5 & .7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & .3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & .7 & 0 & .3 \\
.3 & .5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & .3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

笑Penn

## Network Connectedness II: The Degree Distribution(s)

$$
A_{i j} \in[0,1] \text { depending on connection strength }
$$

Two degrees:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i}^{\text {from }} & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i j} \\
d_{j}^{\text {to }} & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

"from-degree" and "to-degree" distributions on $[0, N-1]$
Mean degree remains the key connectedness measure

## Variance Decompositions as Weighted, Directed Networks

| Variance Decomposition $/$ Connectedness Table |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $x_{N}$ | From Others |
| $x_{1}$ | $d_{11}^{H}$ | $d_{12}^{H}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{1 N}^{H}$ | $\sum_{j \neq 1} d_{1 j}^{H}$ |
| $x_{2}$ | $d_{21}^{H}$ | $d_{22}^{H}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{2 N}^{H}$ | $\sum_{j \neq 2} d_{2 j}^{H}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $x_{N}$ | $d_{N 1}^{H}$ | $d_{N 2}^{H}$ | $\cdots$ | $d_{N N}^{H}$ | $\sum_{j \neq N} d_{N j}^{H}$ |

To
Others $\quad \sum_{i \neq 1} d_{i 1}^{H} \quad \sum_{i \neq 2} d_{i 2}^{H} \quad \cdots \quad \sum_{i \neq N} d_{i N}^{H} \quad \sum_{i \neq j} d_{i j}^{H}$
Total directional connect. "from," $C_{i \leftarrow \bullet}^{H}=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{N} d_{i j}^{H}:$ "from-degrees"
Total directional connect. "to," $C_{\bullet \leftarrow j}^{H}=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{N} d_{i j}^{H}:$ "to-degrees"
Systemwide connect., $C^{H}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{N} d_{i j}^{H}$ : mean degree
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## Relationship to MES

$$
M E S^{j} \mid m k t=E\left(r_{j} \mid \mathbb{C}\left(r_{m k t}\right)\right)
$$

- Sensitivity of firm j's return to extreme market event $\mathbb{C}$
- Market-based "stress test" of firm j’s fragility
"Total directional connectedness from" (from-degrees)
"From others to $j$ "
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## Relationship to CoVaR

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{VaR}^{p}: p=P\left(r<-V_{a} R^{p}\right) \\
\operatorname{CoVa} R^{p, j \mid i}: p=P\left(r_{j}<-\operatorname{CoVa}^{p, j \mid i} \mid \mathbb{C}\left(r_{i}\right)\right) \\
\operatorname{CoVaR} R^{p, m k t \mid i}: p=P\left(r_{m k t}<-\operatorname{CoVa}^{p, m k t \mid i} \mid \mathbb{C}\left(r_{i}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Measures tail-event linkages
- Leading choice of $\mathbb{C}\left(r_{i}\right)$ is a VaR breach
"Total directional connectedness to" (to-degrees)
"From $i$ to others"
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## Estimating Global Bank Network Connectedness

- Daily range-based equity return volatilities
- Top 150 banks globally, by assets, 9/12/2003-2/7/2014
- 96 banks publicly traded throughout the sample
- 80 from 23 developed economies
- 14 from 6 emerging economies
- Market-based approach:
- Balance sheet data are hard to get and rarely timely
- Balance sheet connections are just one part of the story
- Hard to know more than the market


## Many Interesting Issues / Choices

- Approximating model: VAR? Structural DSGE?
- Identification of variance decompositions: Cholesky? Generalized? SVAR? DSGE?
- Time-varying connectedness: Rolling estimation? Smooth TVP's? Regime switching?
- Estimation: Classical? Bayesian? Hybrid?
- Selection: Information criteria? Stepwise? Lasso?
- Shrinkage: BVAR? Ridge? Lasso?


## Selection and Shrinkage via Penalized Estimation of High-Dimensional Approximating Models

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\beta}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\sum_{i} \beta_{i} x_{i t}\right)^{2} \text { s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{q} \leq c \\
\hat{\beta}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\sum_{i} \beta_{i} x_{i t}\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{q}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Concave penalty functions non-differentiable at the origin produce selection. Smooth convex penalties produce shrinkage. $q \rightarrow 0$ produces selection, $q=2$ produces ridge, $q=1$ produces lasso.
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$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\beta}_{\text {Lasso }}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\sum_{i} \beta_{i} x_{i t}\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left|\beta_{i}\right|\right) \\
\hat{\beta}_{\text {ALasso }}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\sum_{i} \beta_{i} x_{i t}\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_{i}\left|\beta_{i}\right|\right) \\
\hat{\beta}_{\text {Enet }}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\sum_{i} \beta_{i} x_{i t}\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(\alpha\left|\beta_{i}\right|+(1-\alpha) \beta_{i}^{2}\right)\right) \\
\hat{\beta}_{\text {AEnet }}=\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(y_{t}-\sum_{i} \beta_{i} x_{i t}\right)^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{K}\left(\alpha w_{i}\left|\beta_{i}\right|+(1-\alpha) \beta_{i}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $w_{i}=1 / \hat{\beta}_{i}^{\nu}, \hat{\beta}_{i}$ is OLS or ridge, and $\nu>0$.
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## Still More Choices (Within Lasso)

- Adaptive elastic net
- $\alpha=0.5$ (equal weight to $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ )
- OLS regression to obtain the weights $w_{i}$
- $\nu=1$
- 10-fold cross validation to determine $\lambda$
- Separate cross validation for each VAR equation.


## A Final Choice: Graphical Display via "Spring Graphs"

- Node size: Asset size
- Node color: Total directional connectedness "to others"
- Node location: Average pairwise directional connectedness (Equilibrium of repelling and attracting forces, where (1) nodes repel each other, but (2) edges attract the nodes they connect according to average pairwise directional connectedness "to" and "from.")
- Edge thickness: Average pairwise directional connectedness
- Edge arrow sizes: Pairwise directional connectedness "to" and "from"
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## Individual Bank Network, 2003-2014



## Individual Bank / Sovereign Bond Network, 2003-2014
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## Dynamic System-Wide Connectedness
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## Conclusions: Connectedness Framework and Results

- Flexible approximating models, static or dynamic estimation
- Firmly grounded in network theory
- Directional, from highly granular to highly aggregated (Pairwise "to" or "from"; total directional "to or "from"; systemwide)
- Results
- MES and CoVaR perspectives are effectively special cases
- Substantive results for global bank equity volatility connectedness

