INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND THE WORLD ECONOMY*

by

Dale W. Jorgenson and Khuong Vu

1. Introduction.

The purpose of this paper is to analyze the impact of investment in information technology (IT) equipment and software on the recent resurgence in world economic growth. The crucial role of IT investment in the growth of the U.S. economy has been thoroughly documented and widely discussed.[1] Jorgenson (2001) has shown that the remarkable behavior of IT prices is the key to understanding the resurgence of American economic growth. This behavior can be traced to developments in semiconductor technology that are widely understood by technologists and economists.

Jorgenson (2003) has shown that the growth of IT investment jumped to double-digit levels after 1995 in all the G7 economies – Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom, as well as the United States.[2] These economies account for nearly half of world output and a much larger share of world IT investment. The surge of IT investment after 1995

[1]See Jorgenson and Kevin Stiroh (2000) and Stephen Oliner and Daniel Sichel (2000). The growth accounting methodology employed in this literature is discussed by Jorgenson, Mun Ho, and Stiroh (2005) and summarized by Jorgenson (2005).

[2]Nadim Ahmad, Paul Schreyer, and Anita Wolfl (2004) have analyzed the impact of IT investment in OECD countries. Bart van Ark, et al. (2003, 2005) and Francesco Daveri (2002) have presented comparisons among European economies. Marcin Piatkowski and Bart van Ark (2005) have compared the impact of IT investment on the economies of Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union.

resulted from a sharp acceleration in the rate of decline of prices of IT equipment and software. Jorgenson (2001) has traced this to a drastic shortening of the product cycle for semiconductors from three years to two years, beginning in 1995.

In Section 2 we describe the growth of the world economy, seven economic regions, and fourteen major economies given in Table 1 during the period 1989-2003.[3] The world economy is divided among the G7 and Non-G7 industrialized economies, Developing Asia, Latin America, Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union, North Africa and the Middle East, and Sub-Saharan Africa. The fourteen major economies include the G7 economies listed above and the developing and transition economies of Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Russia, and South Korea.

We have sub-divided the period in 1995 in order to focus on the response of IT investment to the accelerated decline in IT prices. As shown in Table 1, world economic growth has undergone a powerful revival since 1995. The per capita growth rate jumped nearly a full percentage point from 2.50 percent during 1989-1995 to 3.45 percent in 1995-2003. We can underline the significance of this difference by pointing out that per capita growth of 3.45 percent doubles world output per capita in a little over two decades, while slower growth of 2.50 percent doubles per capita output in slightly less than three decades.

In Section 3 we allocate the growth of world output between input growth and productivity. Our most astonishing finding is that input growth greatly predominated! Productivity growth contributed only one-fifth of the total during 1989-1995, while input growth accounted for almost four-fifths. Similarly, input growth contributed more than seventy percent of growth

---

[3]We include 110 economies with more than one million in population and a complete set of national accounts for the period 1989-2003 from Penn World Table (2002) and World Bank Development Indicators Online (2004). These economies account for more that 96 percent of world output.

after 1995, while productivity accounted for less than thirty percent. The only important departure from this world-wide trend is the Asian Miracle before 1995, when the rate of economic growth in Developing Asia far outstripped the rest of the world and productivity growth predominated.

In Section 3 we distribute the growth of input per capita between investments in tangible assets, especially IT equipment and software, and investments in human capital. The world economy, all seven regions, and the fourteen major economies, except Indonesia and Mexico, experienced a surge in investment in IT after 1995. The soaring level of U.S. IT investment after 1995 was paralleled by jumps in IT investment throughout the industrialized world. The contributions of IT investment in Developing Asia, Latin America, Eastern Europe, North Africa and the Middle East, and Sub-Saharan Africa more than doubled after 1995, beginning from much lower levels. By far the most dramatic increase took place in Developing Asia.

In Section 4 we present levels of output per capita, input per capita and productivity for the world economy, the seven economic regions, and the fourteen major economies. We find that differences in per capita output levels are primarily explained by differences in per capita input, rather than variations in productivity. Taking U.S. output per capita in 2000 as 100.0, world output per capita was a relatively modest 23.9 in 2003. Using similar scales for input and productivity, world input per capita in 2003 was a substantial 42.4 and world productivity a robust 56.3. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. World Economic Growth, 1989-2003.

In order to set the stage for analyzing the impact of IT investment on the growth of the world economy, we first consider the shares of world product and growth for each of the seven regions and the fourteen major economies presented in Table 1. Following Jorgenson (2001), we have chosen GDP as a measure of output. We employ the Penn World Table, generated by

Alan Heston, Robert Summers, and Bettina Aten (2002), as the primary data source on GDP and purchasing power parities for economies outside the G7 and the European Union, as it existed prior to enlargement in May 2004.[4]

We have revised and updated the U.S. data presented by Jorgenson (2001) through 2003. Comparable data for Canada have been constructed by Statistics Canada.[5] Data for France, Germany, Italy, and the U.K. and the economies of the European Union before enlargement have been developed for the European Commission by Bart van Ark, *et al*.[6] Finally, data for Japan have been assembled by Jorgenson and Kazuyuki Motohashi for the Research Institute on Economy, Trade, and Industry.[7] We have linked these data by means of the OECD's purchasing power parities for 1999.[8]

The G7 economies accounted for slightly under half of world product from 1989-2003. The per capita growth rates of these economies - 2.18 percent before 1995 and 2.56 percent afterward - were considerably below world growth rates. The growth acceleration of 0.60 percent for the G7 economies lagged behind the jump in world economic growth. The G7 shares in world growth were 41.3 percent during 1989-1995 and 33.6 percent in 1995-2003, well below the G7 shares in world product of 47.4 percent and 45.3 percent, respectively.

During 1995-2003 the U.S. accounted for 21.8 percent of world product and 48.2 percent of G7 output. After 1995 Japan fell from its ranking as the world's second largest economy to third largest after China. Germany dropped from fourth place before 1995, following the U.S., China, and Japan, to fifth place during 1995-2003, ranking behind India as well. Japan remained

---

[4]Maddison (2001) provides estimates of national product and population for 134 countries for varying periods from 1820-1998 in his magisterial volume, The World Economy: A Millenial Perspective.
[5]See John Baldwin and Tarek Harchaoui (2003).
[6]See van Ark, Johanna Melka, Nanno Mulder, Marcel Timmer, and Gerard Ypma (2003, updated 2005).
[7]See Jorgenson and Motohashi (2005).
[8]See OECD (2002).

4

the second largest of the G7 economies, while Germany retained its position as the leading European economy. France, Italy and the U.K. were similar in size, but less than half the size of Japan. Canada was the smallest of the G7 economies.

The U.S. growth rate jumped from 2.43 percent during 1989-1995 to 3.56 percent in 1995-2003. The period 1995-2003 included the shallow U.S. recession of 2001 and the ensuing recovery, as well as the IT-generated investment boom of the last half of the 1990's. The U.S. accounted for more than half of G7 growth before 1995 and more than two-thirds afterward. The U.S. share in world growth fell below its share in world product before 1995, but rose above the U.S. product share after 1995. By contrast Japan's share in world economic growth before 1995 exceeded its share in world product, but fell short of the product share after 1995. The remaining G7 economies had lower shares of world growth than world product before and after 1995.

The 16 economies of Developing Asia generated slightly more than a fifth of world output before 1995 and more than a quarter afterward. The burgeoning economies of China and India accounted for more than 60 percent of Asian output in both periods.[9] The economies of Developing Asia grew at 7.35 percent before 1995 and 5.62 percent afterward. These economies were responsible for an astounding 61 percent of world growth during 1989-1995! Slightly less than half of this took place in China, while a little less than a third occurred in India. Developing Asia's share in world growth declined to 43 percent during 1995-2003, remaining well above the region's share of 26.1 percent of world product. China accounted for more than half of this growth and India about a quarter.

---

[9]Our data for China are taken from the Penn World Table (2002). Alwyn Young (2003) presents persuasive evidence that the official estimates given, for example, by the World Development Indicators (2004) exaggerate the growth of output and productivity in China.

5

The 15 Non-G7 industrialized economies generated more than eight percent of world output during 1989-2003. These economies were responsible for lower shares in world growth than world product before and after 1995. Prior to the fall of the Berlin Wall and the collapse of the Soviet Union, the 14 economies of Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union were larger in size than the Non-G7, generating 9.3 percent of world product. All of the economies of Eastern Europe experienced a decline in output during 1989-1995. Collectively, these economies subtracted 26.8 percent from world growth during 1989-1995, dragging their share of world product down to 6.6 percent. During 1989-1995 Russia's economy was comparable in size to Germany's, but from 1995 to 2003 the Russian economy was only slightly larger than the U.K. economy.

During 1989-1995 the ten percent share of the Latin American economies in world growth exceeded their eight-and-a-half percent share in world product. After 1995 these economies had a substantially smaller six percent share in world growth, while retaining close to an eight-and-a-half share in world product with Brazil and Mexico responsible for more than sixty percent of this. Brazil's share in world growth was below its three percent share in world product before and after 1995, while Mexico's growth was lower than its product share before 1995 and higher afterward.

The 11 economies of North Africa and the Middle East, taken together, were comparable in size to France, Italy, or the U.K., while the 30 economies of Sub-Saharan Africa, as a group, ranked with Canada. The economies of North Africa and the Middle East had a share in world growth of 6.3 percent during 1989-1995, well above their 3.6 percent share in world product. After 1995 their share in world growth fell to 4.6 percent, still above the share in world product of 3.9 percent. Growth in the economies of Sub-Saharan Africa lagged behind their shares in world product during both periods.

3. Sources of World Economic Growth.

We next allocate the sources of world economic growth during 1989-2003 between the contributions of capital and labor inputs and the growth of productivity. We find that productivity, frequently touted as the primary engine of economic growth, accounted for only 20-30 percent of world growth. Nearly half of this growth can be attributed to the accumulation and deployment of capital and another a quarter to a third to the more effective use of labor. Our second objective is to explore the determinants of the growth of capital and labor inputs, emphasizing the role of investment in information technology equipment and software and the importance of investment in human capital.

We have derived estimates of capital input and property income from national accounting data for the G7 economies. We have constructed estimates of hours worked and labor compensation from labor force surveys for each of these economies. We measure the contribution of labor inputs, classified by age, sex, educational attainment, and employment status, by weighting the growth rate of each type of labor input by its share in the value of output. Finally, we employ purchasing power parities for capital and labor inputs constructed by Jorgenson (2003).[10] We have extended these estimates of capital and labor inputs to the 103 Non-G7 countries using data sources and methods described in the Appendix to the electronic version of the paper.[11]

We have distinguished investments in information technology equipment and software from investments in other assets for all 110 economies in our study. We have derived estimates of IT investment from national accounting data for the G7 economies and those of the European Union before

---

[10] Purchasing power parities for inputs follow the methodology described in detail by Jorgenson and Eric Yip (2001).

[11] We employ data on educational attainment from Robert Barro and Jong-Wha Lee (2001) and governance indicators constructed by Daniel Kaufmann, Aart Kraay, and Massimo Mastruzzi (2004) for the World Bank; for further details, see the Appendix.

enlargement. We measure the contribution of IT investment to economic growth by weighting the growth rate of IT capital inputs by the shares of these inputs in the value of output. Similarly, the contribution of Non-IT investment is a share-weighted growth rate of Non-IT capital inputs. The contribution of capital input is the sum of these two components.

We have revised and updated the U.S. data presented by Jorgenson (2001) on investment in information technology and equipment.[12] Data on IT investment for Canada have been have been constructed by Statistics Canada.[13] Data for the countries of the European Union have been developed for the European Commission by van Ark, *et al*.[14] Finally, data for Japan have been assembled by Jorgenson and Motohashi.[15] We have relied on the WITSA *Digital Planet Report (*2002, 2004), as the starting point for estimates of IT investment for the remaining economies.[16]

We have divided labor input growth between the growth of hours worked and labor quality, where quality is defined as the ratio of labor input to hours worked. This reflects changes in the composition of labor input, for example, through increases in the education and experience of the labor force. The contribution of labor input is the rate of growth of this input, weighted by the share of labor in the value of output. Finally, productivity growth is the difference between the rate of growth of output and the contributions of capital and labor inputs.

---

[12] U.S. data on investment in IT equipment and software, provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), are the most comprehensive and detailed. The BEA data are described by Bruce Grimm, Brent Moulton, and David Wasshausen (2005).
[13] See Baldwin and Harchaoui (2003).
[14] See van Ark, Melka, Mulder, Timmer, and Ypma (2003, updated 2005).
[15] See Jorgenson and Motohashi (2005).
[16] WITSA stands for the World Information Technology and Services Alliance. Other important sources of data include the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) telecommunications indicators, the UNDP Human Development reports, and the Business Software Alliance (2003). Additional details are given in the Appendix.

The contribution of capital input to world economic growth before 1995 was 1.18 percent, a little more than 47 percent of the growth rate of 2.50 percent. Labor input contributed 0.79 percent or slightly less than 32 percent, while productivity growth of 0.53 percent or just over 21 percent. After 1995 the contribution of capital input climbed to 1.56 percent, around 45 percent of output growth, while the contribution of labor input rose to 0.89 percent, around 26 percent. Productivity increased to 0.99 percent or nearly 29 percent of growth. We arrive at the astonishing conclusion that the contributions of capital and labor inputs greatly predominated over productivity as sources of world economic growth before and after 1995!

We have divided the contribution of capital input to world economic growth between IT equipment and software and Non-IT capital input. The contribution of IT almost doubled after 1995, less than a quarter to more than a third of the contribution of capital input. However, Non-IT was more important before and after 1995. We have divided the contribution of labor input between hours worked and labor quality. Hours rose from 0.39 percent before 1995 to 0.62 percent after 1995, while labor quality declined from 0.40 percent to 0.27 percent. Labor quality and hours worked were almost equal in importance before 1995, but hours worked became the major source of labor input growth after 1995.

The acceleration in the world growth rate after 1995 was 0.95 percent, almost a full percentage point. The contribution of capital input explained 0.38 percent of this increase, while the productivity accounted for 0.46 percent. Labor input contributed a relatively modest 0.10 percent. The jump in IT investment of 0.26 percent was most important source of the increase in capital input. This can be traced to the stepped up rate of decline of IT prices after 1995 analyzed by Jorgenson (2001). The substantial increase of 0.23 percent in the contribution of hours worked was the most important component of labor input growth.

Table 2 presents the contribution of capital input to economic growth for the G7 economies, divided between IT and Non-IT. Capital input was the most important source of growth before and after 1995. The contribution of capital input before 1995 was 1.28 or almost three-fifths of the G7 growth rate of 2.18 percent, while the contribution of 1.43 percent after 1995 was 55 percent of the higher growth rate of 2.56 percent. Labor input growth contributed 0.49 percent before 1995 and 0.46 percent afterward, about 22 percent and 18 percent of growth, respectively. Productivity accounted for 0.42 percent before 1995 and 0.67 percent after 1995 or less than a fifth and slightly more than a quarter of G7 growth, respectively.

The powerful surge of IT investment in the U.S. after 1995 is mirrored in jumps in the growth rates of IT capital through the G7. The contribution of IT capital input for the G7 increased from 0.38 during the period 1989-1995 to 0.69 percent during 1995-2003, rising from 30 percent of the contribution of capital input to more than 48 percent. The contribution of Non-IT capital input predominated in both periods, but receded slightly from 0.90 percent before 1995 to 0.74 percent afterward. This reflected more rapid substitution of IT capital input for Non-IT capital input in response to swiftly declining prices of IT equipment and software after 1995.

The modest acceleration of 0.38 percent in G7 output growth after 1995 was powered by investment in IT equipment and software, accounting for 0.31 percent, while the contribution of Non-IT investment slipped by 0.16 percent. Before 1995 the contribution of labor quality of 0.42 percent accounted for more than eighty percent of the contribution of G7 labor input, while the contribution of hours worked of 0.28 percent explained more than sixty percent after 1995. The rising contribution of hours worked was offset by the declining contribution of labor quality, while productivity growth rose by 0.25 percent.

In Developing Asia the contribution of capital input increased from 1.88 percent before 1995 to 2.70 percent after 1995, while the contribution of labor input fell from 1.61 percent to 1.19 percent. These opposing trends had a slightly positive impact on growth. The significant slowdown in the Asian growth rate from 7.35 percent to 5.62 percent can be traced entirely to a sharp decline in productivity growth from 3.86 to 1.72 percent. Productivity explained slightly over half of Asian growth before 1995, but only 30 percent after 1995.

The first half of the 1990's was a continuation of the Asian Miracle, analyzed by Paul Krugman (1994), Lawrence Lau (1999), and Young (1995). This period was dominated by the spectacular rise of China and India and the continuing emergence of the Gang of Four – Hong Kong, Singapore, South Korea, and Taiwan. However, all the Asian economies had growth rates considerably in excess of the world average of 2.50 percent. The second half of the 1990's was dominated by the Asian financial crisis but, surprisingly, conforms much more closely to the "Krugman thesis" attributing Asian growth to input growth rather than productivity.

The "Krugman thesis" was originally propounded to distinguish the Asian Miracle from growth in industrialized countries. According to this thesis, Asian growth was differentiated by high growth rates and a great predominance of inputs over productivity as the sources of high growth. In fact, productivity growth exceeded the growth of input during the Asian Miracle of the early 1990's! Moreover, growth in the world economy and the G7 economies was dominated by growth of capital and labor inputs before and after 1995. Productivity growth played a subordinate role and fell considerably short of the contributions of capital and labor inputs to world and G7 growth.

Developing Asia experienced a potent surge in investment in IT equipment and software after 1995. The contribution of IT investment more

than doubled from 0.15 percent to 0.43 percent, explaining less than eight percent of the contribution of capital input before 1995, but almost 16 percent afterward. The rush in IT investment was particularly powerful in China, rising from 0.17 percent before 1995 to 0.63 percent afterward. India fell substantially behind China, but outperformed the region as a whole, increasing the contribution of IT investment from 0.09 to 0.26 percent.

Indonesia was the only major economy to experience a decline in the contribution of both IT and Non-IT investment after 1995. South Korea's IT investment increased from 0.29 before 1995 to 0.46 percent afterward, while Non-IT investment dropped as a consequence of the Asian financial crisis. The contribution of Non-IT investment in Asia greatly predominated in both periods and also accounted for most of the increase in the contribution of capital input after 1995. The contributions of hours worked and labor quality declined after 1995 with hours worked dominating in both periods.

Economic growth in the fifteen Non-G7 industrialized economies accelerated much more sharply than G7 growth after 1995. The contribution of labor input slightly predominated over capital input before and after 1995. The contribution of labor input was 0.81 percent before 1995, accounting for about 40 percent of Non-G7 growth, and 1.26 after 1995, explaining 39 percent of growth. The corresponding contributions of capital input were 0.75 percent and 1.12 percent, explaining 37 and 34 percent of Non-G7 growth, respectively. Non-G7 productivity also rose from 0.47 before 1995 to 0.89 percent afterward; however, productivity accounted for only 23 and 27 percent of growth in these two periods.

The impact of investment in IT equipment and software in the Non-G7 economies doubled after 1995, rising from 0.22 percent to 0.44 percent or from 29 percent of the contribution of Non-G7 capital input to 39 percent. This provided a substantial impetus to the acceleration in Non-G7 growth of 1.25 percent. Non-IT investment explained another 0.14 percent of the growth

acceleration. However, the increased contribution of hours worked of 0.49 percent and improved productivity growth of 0.42 percent predominated.

The collapse of economic growth in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union before 1995 can be attributed almost entirely to a steep decline in productivity during the transition from socialism. This was followed by a modest revival in both growth and productivity after 1995, bringing many of the transition economies close to levels of output per capita that prevailed in 1989. The contribution of capital input declined both before and after 1995, even as the contribution of IT investment jumped from 0.09 to 0.26 percent. Hour worked also declined in both periods, but labor quality improved substantially.

Latin America's growth decelerated slightly after 1995, falling from 2.95 to 2.52 percent. The contribution of labor input was 1.92 percent before 1995 and 1.89 percent afterward, accounting for the lion's share of regional growth in both periods. The contribution of capital input rose after 1995 from 0.72 percent to 0.99 percent, but remained relatively weak. Mexico's IT investment declined slightly after 1995, while Non-IT investment increased. Nonetheless the contribution of IT investment in Latin America more than doubled, jumping from 0.15 percent before 1995 to 0.34 percent afterward or from 21 percent of the contribution of capital input to 34 percent. Productivity was essentially flat from 1989 to 2001, rising by 0.31 percent before 1995 and falling by 0.36 percent after 1995.

Productivity in Sub-Saharan Africa collapsed during 1989-1995 but recovered slightly, running at -1.63 percent before 1995 and 0.36 percent afterward. The contribution of labor input predominated in both periods, but fell from 2.77 percent to 1.89 percent, while the contribution of capital input rose from 0.52 percent to 0.99 percent. Productivity in North Africa and the Middle East, like that in Latin America, was essentially stationary

from 1989-2001, falling from a positive rate of 0.50 percent before 1995 to a negative rate of -0.46 percent afterward.

4. World Output, Input, and Productivity.

The final step in our analysis of the world growth resurgence is to describe and characterize the levels of output, input, and productivity for the world economy, the seven economic regions, and the fourteen major economies in Table 3. We present levels of output per capita for 1989, before the transition from socialism, 1995, the start of the worldwide IT investment boom, and 2003, the end of the period covered by our study. We also present input per capita and productivity for the years 1989, 1995, and 2003, where productivity is defined as the ratio of output to input.

The G7 economies led the seven economic regions in output per capita, input per capita, and productivity throughout the period 1989-2003. Output per capita in the G7 was, nonetheless, well below U.S. levels. Taking U.S. output per capita in 2000 as 100.0, G7 output per capita was 66.9 in 1989, 72.8 in 1995 and 85.5 in 2003. For comparison: U.S. output per capita was 80.6, 86.3, and 106.4 in these years.

The output gap between the U.S. and the other G7 economies widened considerably, especially since 1995. Canada was very close to the U.S. in output per capita in 1989, but dropped substantially behind by 1995. The U.S.-Canada gap widened further during the last half of the 1990's. Germany, Japan, Italy, and the U.K. had similar levels of output per capita throughout 1989-2003, but remained considerably behind North America. France lagged the rest of the G7 in output per capita in 1989 and failed to make up lost ground.

The U.S. was the leader among the G7 economies in input per capita throughout the period 1989-2003. Taking the U.S. as 100.0 in 2000, G7 input per capita was 72.8 in 1989, 77.4 in 1995, and 86.4 in 2003, while U.S. input per capita was 84.4, 89.1, and 101.4, respectively. Canada, Germany,

14

and Japan were closest to U.S. levels of input per capita with Canada ranking second in 1989 and 2003 and Japan ranking second in 1995. France lagged behind the rest of the G7 in input per capita throughout the period with Italy and the U.K. only modestly higher.

Productivity in the G7 has remained close to U.S. levels, rising from 91.7 in 1989 to 93.9 in 1995 and 96.7 in 2001, with the U.S. equal to 100.0 in 2000. Canada was the productivity leader throughout 1989-2003 with Italy and France close behind. The U.S. occupied fourth place in 1989 and 1995, but rose to second in 2003. Japan made substantial gains in productivity, but lagged behind the other members of the G7 in productivity, while Germany surpassed only Japan.

Differences among the G7 economies in output per capita can be largely explained by differences in input per capita rather than gaps in productivity. The range in output was from 64.7 for France to 106.4 for the U.S., while the range in input was from 62.1 for France to 101.4 for the U.S. Productivity varied more narrowly from 86.7 for Japan to 109.5 for Canada with French productivity of 104.2 closely comparable to the U.S.

In the economies of Developing Asia output per capita rose spectacularly from 6.0 in 1989 to 8.5 1995 and 12.1 in 2003 with the U.S. equal to 100.0 in 2000. Levels of output per capita in Asia's largest economies, China and India, remained at 13.4 and 8.6, respectively, in 2003. These vast shortfalls in output per capita relative to the industrialized economies are due mainly to differences in input per capita, rather than variations in productivity. Developing Asia's levels of input per capita were 19.1 in 1989, 21.5 in 1995, and 26.2 in 2003, while Asian productivity levels were 31.7, 39.7, and 46.1, respectively.

China made extraordinary gains in output per capita, growing from 4.8 in 1989 to 8.1 in 1995 and 13.4 in 2003 with the U.S. equal to 100.0 in 2000. India had essentially the same output per capita as China in 1989, but

grew less impressively to levels of only 6.0 in 1995 and 8.6 in 2003. China's input per capita – 17.9 in 1989, 20.7 in 1995, and 28.0 in 2001 - exceeded India's throughout the period. India's 31.2 productivity level in 1989 considerably surpassed China's 26.9. China's productivity swelled to 39.3 in 1995, outstripping India's 35.3. China expanded its lead with a productivity level of 48.0 in 2003 by comparison with India's 43.1.

Indonesia and South Korea grew impressively from 1989 to 1995, but fell victim to the Asian financial crisis during the period 1995-2003. Indonesia maintained its lead over India in output per capita, but dropped behind China in 2003. Indonesia led both China and India in input per capita during 1989-2003. Indonesia's productivity level led both China and India in 1995, but fell behind both economies by 2003. South Korea made substantial gains in productivity, achieving a level close to Japan in 2003, while falling considerably short of Japan's impressive input per capita.

The 15 Non-G7 industrialized economies, taken together, had levels of output per capita comparable to Germany, Italy, Japan, and the U.K. during 1989-2003. Input per capita for the 15 Non-G7 economies was also very close to these four G7 economies. However, productivity for the group was comparable to that of Germany, the second lowest in the G7.

Before the beginning of the transition from socialism in 1989, output per capita in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union was 34.3, well above the world economy level of 18.9, with the U.S. equal to 100.0 in 2000. The economic collapse that accompanied the transition reduced output per capita to 22.5 by 1995, only modestly higher than the world economy level of 20.0. A mild recovery between 1995 and 2003 brought the region back to 29.3, below the level of 1989, but well above the world economy average of 23.9. Input in the region was stagnant at 43.2 in 1989, 41.4 in 1995, and 42.6 in 2003. Productivity collapsed along with output per capita, declining from 79.4 in 1989 to 54.4 in 1995, before climbing back to 68.8 in 2003.

The downturn in output per capita and productivity was especially severe in the economies of the former Soviet Union. Russia's level of output per capita fell from 41.8 in 1989 to 25.1 in 1995 before recovering feebly to 33.5 in 2003. Russian input per capita remained essentially unchanged throughout the period 1989-2003, while productivity mirrored the decline and subsequent recovery in output, falling from a West European level of 83.6 in 1989 to 52.4 in 1995 before recovering to 70.6 in 2003. We conclude that the transition from socialism failed to restore Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union to pre-transition levels of output and input per capita by 2003, while productivity remained weaker than before the transition.

For the Latin American region output per capita rose from 18.6 to 21.0 during 1989-2003, input per capita rose from 27.1 to 33.0, but productivity was essentially unchanged at about two-thirds of the U.S. level in 2000. The stall in productivity from 1989 to 2003 was pervasive, contrasting sharply with the rise in productivity in the G7 economies, the Non-G7 industrialized economies, and Developing Asia. Nonetheless, Latin America's lagging output per capita was due chiefly to insufficient input per capita, rather than a shortfall in productivity.

Brazil's economic performance has been anemic at best and has acted as a drag on the growth of Latin America and the world economy. Despite productivity levels comparable to the rest of Latin America, Brazil was unable to generate substantial growth in input per capita. Although Mexico lost ground in productivity between 1989 and 2003, rising input per capita produced gains in output per capita after 1995, despite a slight decline in the contribution of IT investment.

Output and input per capita in Sub-Sahara Africa was the lowest in the world throughout the period 1989-2003, but the level of productivity was slightly higher than Developing Asia in 1989. All the economies of North Africa and the Middle East fell short of world average levels of output and

input per capita. Output per capita grew slowly but steadily for the region as a whole during 1989-2003, powered by impressive gains in input per capita, but with stagnant productivity.

5. Summary and Conclusions.

World economic growth, led by the industrialized economies and Developing Asia, experienced a strong resurgence after 1995. Developing Asia accounted for an astonishing 60 percent of world economic growth before 1995 and 40 percent afterward, with China alone responsible for half of this, but output per capita remained well below the world average. Sub-Saharan Africa and North Africa and the Middle East languished far below the world average. Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union lost enormous ground during the transition from socialism and have yet to recover completely.

The growth trends most apparent in the U.S. have counterparts throughout the world. Investment in tangible assets, including IT equipment and software, was the most important source of growth. However, Non-IT investment predominated. The contribution of labor input was next in magnitude with labor quality dominant before 1995 and hours worked afterward. Finally, productivity was the least important of the three sources of growth, except during the Asian Miracle before 1995.

The leading role of IT investment in the acceleration of growth in the G7 economies is especially pronounced in the U.S., where IT is coming to dominate the contribution of capital input. The contribution of labor input predominated in the Non-G7 industrialized economies, as well as Latin America, Eastern Europe, Sub-Saharan Africa, and North Africa and the Middle East. Productivity growth was the important source of growth in Developing Asia before 1995, but assumed a subordinate role after 1995. Productivity has been stagnant or declining in Latin America, Eastern Europe, Sub-Saharan Africa, and North Africa and the Middle East.

All seven regions of the world economy experienced a surge in investment in IT equipment and software after 1995. The impact of IT investment on economic growth has been most striking in the G7 economies. The rush in IT investment was especially conspicuous in the U.S., but jumps in the contribution of IT capital input in Canada, Japan, and the U.K. were only slightly lower. France, Germany, and Italy also experienced a surge in IT investment, but lagged considerably behind the leaders. While IT investment followed similar patterns in the G7 economies, Non-IT investment varied considerably and explains important differences among growth rates.

Although the surge in investment in IT equipment and software is a global phenomenon, the variation in the contribution of this investment has increased considerably since 1995. Following the G7, the next most important increase was in Developing Asia, led by China. The Non-G7 industrialized economies followed Developing Asia. The role of IT investment more than doubled after 1995 in Latin America, Eastern Europe, and North Africa and the Middle East, and Sub-Saharan Africa.

Table 1: The World Economy: Shares in Size and Growth by Group, Region, and Major Economies
* The measures for groups and the world are averages weighted by GDP (in PPP$) share

Group/Region	Period 1989-1995			Period 1995-2003		
	GDP Growth	Average Share		GDP Growth	Average Share	
		GDP	Growth		GDP	Growth
World (110 Economies)	2.50	100.00	100.00	3.45	100.00	100.00
G7 (7 Economies)	2.18	47.44	41.33	2.56	45.26	33.62
Developing Asia (16)	7.35	20.76	61.13	5.62	26.05	42.56
Non-G7 (15)	2.03	8.38	6.77	3.01	8.13	7.10
Latin America (19)	3.06	8.35	10.20	2.11	8.07	4.94
Eastern Europe (14)	-7.05	9.32	-26.76	2.87	6.57	5.47
Sub-Sahara Africa (28)	1.21	2.13	1.03	2.88	2.01	1.68
N. Africa and Middle-East (11)	4.36	3.61	6.29	4.08	3.91	4.64

Economy	Period 1989-1995					Period 1995-2003				
	GDP Growth	Avg. GDP Share		Growth Share		GDP Growth	Avg. GDP Share		Growth Share	
		Group	World	Group	World		Group	World	Group	World
Seven World Major Economies (G7)										
Canada	1.39	4.90	2.32	3.12	1.29	2.51	4.78	2.17	4.69	1.58
France	1.30	7.10	3.37	4.23	1.75	1.92	6.76	3.06	5.05	1.70
Germany	2.34	10.80	5.12	11.58	4.79	0.86	10.20	4.63	3.41	1.15
Italy	1.52	7.42	3.52	5.17	2.14	1.48	6.99	3.17	4.05	1.36
Japan	2.56	16.23	7.70	19.03	7.88	1.39	15.73	7.13	8.54	2.88
United Kingdom	1.62	7.45	3.54	5.53	2.29	2.55	7.37	3.34	7.32	2.46
United States	2.43	46.11	21.87	51.34	21.26	3.56	48.16	21.76	66.92	22.46
All G7	**2.18**	**100.0**	**47.4**	**100.0**	**41.4**	**2.56**	**100.0**	**45.3**	**100.0**	**33.6**
Seven Major Developing and Transition Economies (GD7)										
Brazil	1.97	12.10	3.16	6.89	2.48	1.94	10.16	2.93	3.80	1.65
China	9.94	29.26	7.64	84.23	30.36	7.13	37.79	10.91	51.99	22.55
India	5.03	18.98	4.95	27.65	9.97	6.15	20.69	5.97	24.54	10.65
Indonesia	6.82	7.12	1.86	14.07	5.07	2.41	6.98	2.02	3.25	1.41
Mexico	2.19	7.48	1.95	4.74	1.71	3.56	6.74	1.95	4.63	2.01
Russian Federation	-8.44	19.92	5.20	-48.71	-17.56	3.18	12.17	3.52	7.46	3.24
South Korea	7.48	5.14	1.34	11.13	4.01	4.09	5.47	1.58	4.32	1.87
All GD7	**3.45**	**100.0**	**26.1**	**100.0**	**36.0**	**5.18**	**100.0**	**28.9**	**100.0**	**43.4**

Table 2: Sources of Output Growth: 1995-2003 vs. 1989-1995
The measures for groups and the world are averages weighted by GDP (in PPP$) share

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
World (110 Economies)	2.50	0.27	0.91	0.39	0.40	0.53	3.45	0.53	1.03	0.62	0.27	0.99
G7 (7 Economies)	2.18	0.38	0.90	0.07	0.42	0.42	2.56	0.69	0.74	0.28	0.18	0.67
Developing Asia (16)	7.35	0.15	1.73	1.19	0.42	3.86	5.62	0.43	2.27	0.81	0.38	1.72
Non-G7 (15)	2.03	0.32	0.68	0.21	0.21	0.61	3.01	0.49	0.77	1.06	0.20	0.49
Latin America (19)	3.06	0.16	0.58	1.20	0.37	0.75	2.11	0.39	0.61	1.10	0.34	-0.32
Eastern Europe (14)	-7.05	0.10	-0.15	-0.86	0.36	-6.50	2.87	0.23	-0.81	0.01	0.39	3.06
Sub-Sahara Africa (28)	1.21	0.13	0.24	1.66	0.56	-1.39	2.88	0.29	0.68	1.18	0.42	0.32
N. Africa and M. East (11)	4.36	0.15	0.72	1.43	0.56	1.50	4.08	0.40	0.88	2.02	0.49	0.30
Seven World Major Economies (G7)												
Canada	1.39	0.49	0.27	0.07	0.55	0.01	2.51	0.65	0.61	0.68	0.16	0.42
France	1.30	0.19	0.93	-0.17	0.61	-0.26	1.92	0.36	0.75	0.22	0.07	0.52
Germany	2.34	0.26	1.05	-0.42	0.33	1.12	0.86	0.40	0.50	-0.24	0.09	0.11
Italy	1.52	0.26	0.86	-0.35	0.38	0.37	1.48	0.46	0.96	0.61	0.27	-0.82
Japan	2.56	0.31	1.16	-0.39	0.54	0.94	1.39	0.56	0.26	-0.32	0.22	0.67
United Kingdom	1.62	0.27	1.69	-0.73	0.49	-0.10	2.55	0.65	0.19	0.38	0.26	1.07
United States	2.43	0.49	0.71	0.57	0.36	0.31	3.56	0.88	1.01	0.50	0.17	0.99
All G7	**2.18**	**0.38**	**0.90**	**0.07**	**0.42**	**0.42**	**2.56**	**0.69**	**0.74**	**0.28**	**0.18**	**0.67**
Seven Major Developing and Transition Economies (GD7)												
Brazil	1.97	0.09	0.29	0.99	0.39	0.20	1.94	0.46	0.24	0.67	0.37	0.21
China	9.94	0.17	2.12	0.87	0.45	6.33	7.13	0.63	3.17	0.45	0.39	2.49
India	5.03	0.09	1.18	1.27	0.43	2.06	6.15	0.26	1.77	1.22	0.41	2.49
Indonesia	6.82	0.10	1.62	1.64	0.43	3.04	2.41	0.09	1.47	0.91	0.41	-0.47
Mexico	2.19	0.24	0.95	1.48	0.38	-0.87	3.56	0.23	1.11	1.76	0.31	0.14
Russian Federation	-8.44	0.07	-0.07	-1.02	0.37	-7.79	3.18	0.10	-1.30	0.21	0.44	3.73
South Korea	7.48	0.29	2.31	1.45	0.31	3.13	4.09	0.46	1.67	0.86	0.26	0.85
All GD7	**3.45**	**0.13**	**1.17**	**0.72**	**0.41**	**1.03**	**5.18**	**0.40**	**1.70**	**0.74**	**0.39**	**1.96**

Table 3: Levels of Output and Input Per Capita and Productivity (U.S. =100 in 2000)
The levels for groups and the world are averages weighted by population share

Region/Country	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
World	18.9	20.0	23.9	38.5	38.5	42.4	49.0	52.0	56.3
G7	66.9	72.8	85.5	72.8	77.4	86.4	91.9	94.1	99.0
Developing Asia	6.0	8.5	12.1	19.1	21.5	26.2	31.7	39.7	46.1
Non-G7	51.5	56.0	68.0	61.9	64.9	75.9	83.2	86.4	89.5
Latin America	18.6	20.0	21.0	27.1	28.2	30.5	68.4	71.0	68.7
Eastern Europe	34.3	22.5	29.3	43.2	41.4	42.6	79.4	54.4	68.8
Sub-Sahara Africa	5.3	4.8	5.0	15.7	15.7	16.7	33.5	30.6	30.0
N. Africa & M. East	12.5	14.2	17.0	22.3	23.2	27.3	55.9	61.1	62.3
Seven World Major Economies (G7)									
Canada	79.4	80.2	91.0	75.0	75.7	83.2	105.9	105.9	109.5
France	54.5	57.4	64.7	53.7	57.4	62.1	101.5	100.0	104.2
Germany	59.0	65.5	69.4	71.6	74.3	78.0	82.4	88.2	89.0
Italy	57.7	62.5	69.9	55.9	59.2	70.7	103.2	105.6	98.9
Japan	56.3	64.4	70.8	72.5	78.3	81.7	77.7	82.2	86.7
United Kingdom	56.9	61.8	73.7	61.7	67.5	73.9	92.2	91.6	99.8
United States	80.6	86.3	106.4	84.4	89.1	101.4	95.5	96.9	104.9
All G7	66.9	72.8	85.5	72.8	77.4	86.4	91.9	94.1	99.0
Seven Major Developing and Transition Economies (GD7)									
Brazil	19.9	20.5	21.5	29.3	29.8	30.8	67.9	68.7	69.8
China	4.8	8.1	13.4	17.9	20.7	28.0	26.9	39.3	48.0
India	5.0	6.0	8.6	15.9	17.0	19.9	31.2	35.3	43.1
Indonesia	8.3	11.3	12.2	23.7	26.8	29.9	35.3	42.3	40.7
Mexico	22.2	22.3	26.6	28.0	29.7	34.9	79.3	75.3	76.1
Russian Federation	41.8	25.1	33.5	50.0	48.0	47.4	83.6	52.4	70.6
South Korea	24.3	35.8	46.5	37.1	45.4	55.0	65.4	78.9	84.5
All GD7	9.0	10.2	14.0	24.4	24.0	28.3	36.8	42.4	49.6

Appendix

To measure capital and labor inputs and the sources of economic growth, we employ the production possibility frontier model of production and the index number methodology for input measurement presented by Jorgenson (2001). For the G7 economies we have updated and revised the data constructed by Jorgenson (2003). For the remaining 103 economies, we rely on two primary sources of data[17]: the Penn World Table (2002) and *World Bank Development Indicators Online* (2004) provide national accounting data for 1950-2003 for all economies in the world except Taiwan. WITSA's *Digital Planet Report* (2002, 2004) gives data on expenditures on IT equipment and software for 70 economies, including the G7.

U.S. data on investment in IT equipment and software, provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) are the most comprehensive.[18] We use these data as a benchmark in estimating IT investment data for other economies. For the economies included in the *Digital Planet Report* we estimate IT investment from IT expenditures. The *Digital Planet Report* provides expenditure data for computer hardware, software, and telecommunication equipment on an annual basis, beginning in 1992.

Expenditure data from the *Digital Planet Report* are given in current U.S. dollars. However, data are not provided separately for investment and intermediate input and for business, household, and government sectors. We find that the ratio of BEA investment to WITSA expenditure data for the U.S. is fairly constant for the periods 1981-1990 and 1991-2001 for each type of IT equipment and software. Further, data on the global market for telecommunication equipment for 1991-2001, reported by the International

---

[17] Other important sources of data include the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) telecommunications indicators, and the UNDP Human Development reports.

[18] The BEA data are described by Grimm, Moulton, and Wasshausen (2004).

Telecommunication Union (ITU), confirms that the ratio of investment to total expenditure for the U.S. is representative of the global market.

We take the ratios of IT investment to IT expenditure for the U.S. as an estimate of the share of investment to expenditure from the *Digital Planet Report*. We use the penetration rate of IT in each economy to extrapolate the investment levels. This extrapolation is based on the assumption that the increase in real IT investment is proportional to the increase in IT penetration.

Investment in each type of IT equipment and software is calculated as follows:

$$I_{c,A,t} = \eta_{c,A,t} * E_{c,A,t}$$

where $I_{c,A,t}$, $\eta_{c,A,t}$, and $E_{c,A,t}$ are investment, the estimated investment-to-expenditure ratio, and the *Digital Planet Report* expenditures, respectively, for asset A in year t for country c[19].

Given the estimated IT investment flows, we use the perpetual inventory method to estimate IT capital stock. We assume that the geometric depreciation rate is 31.5% and the service life is 7 years for computer hardware, 31.5% and 5 years for software, and 11% and 11 years for telecommunication equipment. Investment in current U.S. dollars for each asset is deflated by the U.S. price index to obtain investment in constant U.S. dollars.

To estimate IT investment for the 66 economies not covered by the *Digital Planet Reports,* we extrapolate the levels of IT capital stock per capita we have estimated for the 70 economies included in these *Reports*. We

---

[19] The IT expenditures for years prior to 1992 are projected by means of the following model:

$$\ln(Ec_{i\,t-1}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(Ec_{i\,t}) + \beta_2 \ln(y_{i\,t-1})$$

where $Ec_{i\,t}$ represents expenditure on IT asset c and the subscripts i and t indicate country i in year t, and $y_{i\,t}$ is GDP per capita. The model specifies that, for a country i, spending on IT asset c in year t-1 can be projected from GDP per capita in that year and spending on asset c in period t.

assume that IT capital stock per capita for the 40 additional economies is proportional to the level of IT penetration. The details are as follows:

For computers we divide the 70 economies included in the *Digital Planet Reports* into 10 equal groups, based on the level of personal computer (PC) penetration in 2003. We estimate the current value s_{HW}^{i} of computer stock per capita in 2003 for an economy i as:

$$s_{HW}^{i} = \bar{s}_{HW}^{I} * (P_{HW}^{i} / \bar{P}_{HW}^{I}),$$

where $\bar{s}_{HW}^{I}$ is the average value of computer capital per capita in 2001 of group I for countries included in the *Digital Planet Report*, P_{HW}^{i} and $\bar{P}_{HW}^{I}$ are the PC penetration rates of economy i and the average PC penetration of group I, respectively.

For the economies with data on PC penetration for 1995, we use the growth rates of PC penetration over 1989-2003 to project the current value of computer capital stock per capita backwards. We estimate computer capital stock for each year by multiplying capital stock per capita by population. For economies lacking the data of PC penetration in 1995 and 1989, we estimate computer capital stock by assuming that the growth rates in the two periods, 1995-2003 and 1989-1995, are the same as those for the group to which it belongs.

For software capital stock, we divide the 110 countries into 10 categories by level of PC penetration in 2003. We sub-divide each of these categories into three categories by degree of software piracy[20], generating 30 groups. We assume that the software capital stock-to-hardware capital stock ratio is constant in each year for each of the 30 groups:

$$s_{SW}^{i} = \bar{s}_{SW}^{I} * (s_{HW}^{i} / \bar{s}_{HW}^{I})$$

---

[20] The information on software piracy is based on study conducted by the Business Software Alliance (2003).

where $\bar{s}_{SW}^{I}$ is the average software capital stock per capita of subgroup I in 2003. Since the value of computer stock per capita has been estimated for 1995 and 1989, this enables us to estimate the software capital stock per capita for these two years.

Finally, we define the penetration rate for telecommunications equipment as the sum of main-line and mobile telephone penetration rates. These data are available for all 110 economies in all three years - 1989, 1995, and 2003. We have divided these into 10 groups by the level of telecommunications equipment penetration for each year. The current value of telecommunications capital stock per capita is estimated as:

$$s_{TLC}^{it} = \bar{s}_{TLC}^{It} * (P_{TLC}^{it} / \overline{P}_{TLC}^{It})$$

where $\bar{s}_{TLC}^{It}$ is the average current of telecommunications equipment capital stock per capita in year t of group I for economies included in the *Digital Planet Reports* and P_{TLC}^{it} and $\overline{P}_{TLC}^{It}$ are the telecommunications equipment penetration rates of economy i and the average penetration rate of group I in year t.

We employ Gross Fixed Capital Formation for each of the 103 economies provided by the Penn World Table, measured in current U.S. dollars, as the flow of investment. We use the Penn World Table investment deflators to convert these flows into constant U.S. dollars. The constant dollar value of capital stock is estimated by the perpetual inventory method for each of the 103 economies for 1989 and the following years. We assume a depreciation rate of 7% and a service life of 30 years.

The current value of the gross capital stock at a year is the product of its constant dollar value and the investment deflator for that year. We estimate the current value of Non-ICT capital stock of an economy for each year by subtracting the current value of IT stock from the current value of

capital stock in that year. Given the estimates of the capital stock for each type of asset, we calculate capital input for this stock, using the methodology presented of Jorgenson (2001).

Finally, labor input is the product of hours worked and labor quality:

$$L_t = H_t * q_t$$

where L_t, H_t, and q_t, respectively, are the labor input, the hours worked, and labor quality. A labor quality index requires data on education and hours worked for each of category of workers.

We extrapolate the labor quality indexes for the G7 economies by means of the following model:

$$q_{i, t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Education}_{i, t} + \beta_2 \text{Institution1}_i + \beta_3 \text{Institution2}_i + \beta_4 \text{Income1989}_i + \beta_5 T$$

where subscripts i and t indicate economy i in year t. Education is the educational attainment of the population aged 25 or over from the data set constructed by Robert Barro and Jong-Wha Lee (2001). Institution1 = "Rule of Law" and Institution2 = "Regulatory Quality" are constructed by Daniel Kaufmann, Aart Kraay, and Massimo Mastruzzi (2004) for the World Bank; Income1990 is GDP per capita for 1990 from the Penn World Table and T is a time dummy.

Labor quality is largely explained by educational attainment, institutional quality and living conditions. The model fits well (R^2 = 0.973) and all the explanatory variables are statistically significant. We assume that hours worked per worker is constant at 2000 hours per year, so that growth rates of hours worked are the same as employment.

Appendix Table 1: The World Economy: Shares in Size and Growth by Economy and Region

Group	Period 1989-1995			Period 1995-2003		
	GDP Growth	Average Share GDP	Average Share Growth	GDP Growth	Average Share GDP	Average Share Growth
World (110 Economies)	2.50	100.00	100.00	3.45	100.00	100.00
G7 Economies	2.18	47.44	41.33	2.56	45.26	33.62
Developing Asia	7.35	20.76	61.13	5.62	26.05	42.56
Non-G7	2.03	8.38	6.77	3.01	8.13	7.10
Latin America	3.06	8.35	10.20	2.11	8.07	4.94
Eastern Europe	-7.05	9.32	-26.76	2.87	6.57	5.47
Sub-Sahara Africa	1.21	2.13	1.03	2.88	2.01	1.68
North Africa and Middle-East	4.36	3.61	6.29	4.08	3.91	4.64

G7 (7 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995					Period 1995-2003				
	GDP Growth	GDP Share Group	GDP Share World	Growth Share Group	Growth Share World	GDP Growth	GDP Share Group	GDP Share World	Growth Share Group	Growth Share World
Canada	1.39	4.90	2.32	3.12	1.29	2.51	4.78	2.17	4.69	1.58
France	1.30	7.10	3.37	4.23	1.75	1.92	6.76	3.06	5.05	1.70
Germany	2.34	10.80	5.12	11.58	4.79	0.86	10.20	4.63	3.41	1.15
Italy	1.52	7.42	3.52	5.17	2.14	1.48	6.99	3.17	4.05	1.36
Japan	2.56	16.23	7.70	19.03	7.87	1.39	15.73	7.13	8.54	2.88
United Kingdom	1.62	7.45	3.54	5.53	2.29	2.55	7.37	3.34	7.32	2.46
United States	2.43	46.11	21.87	51.34	21.22	3.56	48.16	21.76	66.92	22.48
All Group	2.18	100.0	47.4	100.0	41.3	2.56	100.0	45.3	100.0	33.6

Developing Asia (16 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995					Period 1995-2003				
	GDP Growth	GDP Share Group	GDP Share World	Growth Share Group	Growth Share World	GDP Growth	GDP Share Group	GDP Share World	Growth Share Group	Growth Share World
Bangladesh	4.91	2.25	0.46	1.50	0.91	4.66	2.01	0.52	1.67	0.71
Cambodia	7.48	0.19	0.04	0.19	0.11	6.01	0.19	0.05	0.20	0.09
China	9.94	36.37	7.64	49.17	30.30	7.13	41.68	10.91	52.86	22.58
Hong Kong	5.42	1.97	0.41	1.46	0.88	2.50	1.65	0.43	0.74	0.31
India	5.03	24.10	4.95	16.49	9.95	6.15	22.89	5.97	25.04	10.66
Indonesia	6.82	8.97	1.86	8.32	5.06	2.41	7.82	2.02	3.36	1.41
Malaysia	8.45	1.86	0.39	2.14	1.31	4.33	1.83	0.47	1.41	0.60
Nepal	4.62	0.35	0.07	0.22	0.13	3.91	0.30	0.08	0.21	0.09
Pakistan	4.10	3.13	0.64	1.74	1.05	3.47	2.60	0.67	1.61	0.68
Philippines	2.57	3.32	0.67	1.16	0.69	3.91	2.66	0.69	1.85	0.78
Singapore	7.95	0.79	0.16	0.85	0.52	3.91	0.77	0.20	0.53	0.23
South Korea	7.48	6.45	1.34	6.57	4.00	4.09	6.10	1.58	4.44	1.88
Sri Lanka	5.24	0.78	0.16	0.55	0.33	2.77	0.65	0.17	0.32	0.14
Taiwan	6.59	3.88	0.80	3.48	2.11	4.06	3.57	0.92	2.58	1.09
Thailand	8.58	4.28	0.89	4.99	3.06	2.19	3.90	1.00	1.52	0.64
Vietnam	6.49	1.33	0.28	1.17	0.71	6.76	1.39	0.36	1.67	0.71
All Group	7.35	100.0	20.8	100.0	61.1	5.62	100.0	26.1	100.0	42.6

Non-G7 (15 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995					Period 1995-2003				
	GDP Growth	GDP Share Group	GDP Share World	Growth Share Group	Growth Share World	GDP Growth	GDP Share Group	GDP Share World	Growth Share Group	Growth Share World
Australia	2.58	13.66	1.14	17.40	1.18	3.73	14.30	1.16	17.73	1.26
Austria	2.48	5.67	0.48	6.96	0.47	1.99	5.53	0.45	3.66	0.26
Belgium	1.84	7.27	0.61	6.59	0.45	2.07	6.96	0.57	4.80	0.34
Denmark	1.93	4.31	0.36	4.11	0.28	2.14	4.15	0.34	2.95	0.21
Finland	-1.33	3.55	0.30	-2.34	-0.16	3.76	3.29	0.27	4.11	0.29
Greece	0.85	4.66	0.39	1.97	0.13	3.97	4.68	0.38	6.17	0.44
Ireland	5.32	1.89	0.16	4.97	0.34	7.99	2.59	0.21	6.86	0.49
Israel	7.03	2.85	0.24	9.91	0.67	2.34	3.19	0.26	2.48	0.18
Netherlands	2.31	10.99	0.92	12.53	0.85	2.53	10.88	0.88	9.13	0.65
New Zealand	2.58	2.16	0.18	2.75	0.19	2.95	2.19	0.18	2.15	0.15
Norway	3.33	3.85	0.32	6.33	0.43	2.36	3.90	0.32	3.05	0.22
Portugal	2.09	4.48	0.38	4.62	0.31	2.52	4.41	0.36	3.70	0.26

28

Latin America (19 Economies)

| Economy | Period 1989-1995 | | | | | Period 1995-2003 | | | | |
| | GDP Growth | GDP Share | | Growth Share | | GDP Growth | GDP Share | | Growth Share | |
		Group	World	Group	World		Group	World	Group	World
Argentina	6.17	10.97	0.92	22.12	2.26	0.69	11.35	0.92	3.73	0.18
Bolivia	3.69	0.65	0.05	0.78	0.08	2.81	0.68	0.05	0.90	0.04
Brazil	1.97	37.85	3.16	24.32	2.48	1.94	36.35	2.93	33.38	1.65
Chile	7.24	3.54	0.30	8.38	0.86	3.83	4.27	0.34	7.75	0.38
Colombia	4.09	6.96	0.58	9.31	0.95	1.79	7.08	0.57	6.01	0.30
Costa Rica	3.60	0.55	0.05	0.65	0.07	4.18	0.61	0.05	1.21	0.06
Ecuador	2.70	1.52	0.13	1.34	0.14	1.22	1.45	0.12	0.84	0.04
El Salvador	4.73	0.74	0.06	1.15	0.12	2.66	0.80	0.06	1.00	0.05
Guatemala	3.46	1.30	0.11	1.47	0.15	2.93	1.36	0.11	1.88	0.09
Honduras	0.98	0.41	0.03	0.13	0.01	2.58	0.39	0.03	0.48	0.02
Jamaica	0.72	0.31	0.03	0.07	0.01	0.76	0.27	0.02	0.10	0.00
Mexico	2.19	23.40	1.95	16.74	1.71	3.56	24.19	1.95	40.75	2.01
Nicaragua	-0.50	0.31	0.03	-0.05	-0.01	2.10	0.28	0.02	0.28	0.01
Panama	4.32	0.49	0.04	0.70	0.07	2.62	0.52	0.04	0.65	0.03
Paraguay	4.36	0.81	0.07	1.15	0.12	0.28	0.78	0.06	0.11	0.01
Peru	4.19	3.32	0.28	4.55	0.46	2.62	3.51	0.28	4.34	0.21
Trinidad and Tobago	0.76	0.48	0.04	0.12	0.01	5.10	0.51	0.04	1.23	0.06
Uruguay	3.79	0.93	0.08	1.16	0.12	0.01	0.88	0.07	0.00	0.00
Venezuela	3.31	5.46	0.46	5.92	0.60	-2.07	4.72	0.38	-4.62	-0.23
All Group	**3.06**	**100.00**	**8.35**	**100.00**	**10.20**	**2.11**	**100.00**	**8.07**	**100.00**	**4.94**

Eastern Europe (14 Economies)

| Economy | Period 1989-1995 | | | | | Period 1995-2003 | | | | |
| | GDP Growth | GDP Share | | Growth Share | | GDP Growth | GDP Share | | Growth Share | |
		Group	World	Group	World		Group	World	Group	World
Albania	-3.83	0.36	0.03	0.20	-0.05	5.37	0.44	0.03	0.83	0.05
Bulgaria	-3.80	2.26	0.21	1.22	-0.31	-0.69	2.18	0.14	-0.52	-0.03
Croatia	-4.79	1.34	0.12	0.91	-0.23	3.52	1.47	0.10	1.80	0.10
Czech Republic	-0.97	4.89	0.43	0.67	-0.17	1.65	5.50	0.36	3.16	0.17
Estonia	-6.38	0.49	0.04	0.44	-0.11	4.19	0.53	0.03	0.78	0.04
Hungary	-2.59	3.29	0.30	1.21	-0.31	3.36	3.80	0.25	4.45	0.24
Latvia	-12.06	0.76	0.07	1.31	-0.36	4.94	0.71	0.05	1.22	0.07
Lithuania	-8.35	1.10	0.10	1.30	-0.34	4.54	1.14	0.08	1.81	0.10
Poland	0.71	9.54	0.83	-0.96	0.24	3.69	12.13	0.80	15.62	0.85
Romania	-2.35	4.39	0.39	1.46	-0.37	0.40	4.56	0.30	0.64	0.04
Russian Federation	-8.44	55.12	5.20	65.97	-17.52	3.18	53.49	3.52	59.24	3.24
Slovakia	-3.95	2.04	0.19	1.15	-0.29	3.89	2.33	0.15	3.16	0.17
Slovenia	-0.59	0.91	0.08	0.08	-0.02	3.67	1.12	0.07	1.44	0.08
Ukraine	-13.10	13.50	1.32	25.06	-6.91	1.72	10.59	0.70	6.37	0.35
All Group	**-7.05**	**100.0**	**9.3**	**100.0**	**-26.8**	**2.87**	**100.0**	**6.6**	**100.0**	**5.5**

Sub-Sahara Africa (28 Economies)

| Economy | Period 1989-1995 | | | | | Period 1995-2003 | | | | |
| | GDP Growth | GDP Share | | Growth Share | | GDP Growth | GDP Share | | Growth Share | |
		Group	World	Group	World		Group	World	Group	World
Benin	3.67	0.72	0.02	2.18	0.02	5.25	0.85	0.02	1.55	0.03
Botswana	4.90	1.14	0.02	4.63	0.05	5.48	1.40	0.03	2.66	0.04
Burkina Faso	2.60	1.13	0.02	2.42	0.02	4.57	1.26	0.03	1.99	0.03
Cameroon	-1.90	3.97	0.08	-6.24	-0.06	4.47	3.84	0.08	5.96	0.10
Central African Republic	-2.36	0.53	0.01	-1.03	-0.01	0.57	0.47	0.01	0.09	0.00
Chad	-0.39	0.87	0.02	-0.28	0.00	5.56	0.92	0.02	1.79	0.03
Congo, Rep.	-1.15	0.89	0.02	-0.84	-0.01	3.58	0.85	0.02	1.05	0.02
Cote d'Ivoire	0.79	3.74	0.08	2.45	0.03	1.04	3.44	0.07	1.25	0.02
Ethiopia	1.13	4.43	0.09	4.15	0.04	4.83	4.79	0.10	8.03	0.13
Gabon	2.80	1.13	0.02	2.62	0.03	1.70	1.13	0.02	0.67	0.01
Ghana	4.02	2.67	0.06	8.87	0.09	3.97	3.02	0.06	4.16	0.07
Guinea	4.16	2.17	0.05	7.49	0.08	3.53	2.43	0.05	2.97	0.05
Kenya	2.17	4.59	0.10	8.22	0.08	1.67	4.50	0.09	2.60	0.04
Madagascar	0.36	1.53	0.03	0.45	0.00	2.38	1.46	0.03	1.21	0.02
Malawi	4.36	0.81	0.02	2.91	0.03	2.81	0.88	0.02	0.86	0.01
Mali	2.67	1.01	0.02	2.24	0.02	6.43	1.23	0.02	2.74	0.05
Mauritius	5.58	1.52	0.03	7.01	0.07	5.03	1.88	0.04	3.27	0.05
Mozambique	-0.46	1.88	0.04	-0.72	-0.01	7.91	2.22	0.04	6.11	0.10
Namibia	2.52	1.15	0.02	2.40	0.02	3.25	1.30	0.03	1.47	0.02
Niger	0.57	1.04	0.02	0.49	0.01	4.73	1.10	0.02	1.80	0.03
Nigeria	0.74	15.97	0.34	9.71	0.10	-0.22	14.00	0.28	-1.07	-0.02
Senegal	2.01	1.61	0.03	2.67	0.03	4.62	1.76	0.04	2.83	0.05
South Africa	0.77	39.78	0.85	25.23	0.26	2.65	38.85	0.78	35.76	0.60
Swaziland	3.74	0.49	0.01	1.53	0.02	2.93	0.53	0.01	0.54	0.01
Tanzania	2.18	1.79	0.04	3.23	0.03	4.43	1.97	0.04	3.02	0.05
Togo	-3.16	0.58	0.01	-1.52	-0.02	1.95	0.48	0.01	0.33	0.01
Uganda	6.79	1.90	0.04	10.65	0.11	5.76	2.49	0.05	4.99	0.08
Zambia	-1.15	0.97	0.02	-0.92	-0.01	4.22	0.95	0.02	1.39	0.02
All Group	**1.21**	**100.0**	**2.1**	**100.0**	**1.0**	**2.88**	**100.0**	**2.0**	**100.0**	**1.7**

North Africa and Middle-East (11 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995					Period 1995-2003				
	GDP Growth	GDP Share		Growth Share		GDP Growth	GDP Share		Growth Share	
		Group	World	Group	World		Group	World	Group	World
Algeria	0.31	12.92	0.46	0.91	0.06	3.38	11.04	0.43	9.15	0.42
Egypt	4.54	15.43	0.56	16.08	1.01	4.31	15.66	0.61	16.54	0.77
Iran	6.71	23.30	0.84	35.90	2.26	5.39	26.31	1.03	34.72	1.61
Jordan	5.58	1.17	0.04	1.50	0.09	3.86	1.21	0.05	1.14	0.05
Lebanon	13.51	1.10	0.04	3.42	0.22	4.14	1.40	0.05	1.42	0.07
Mauritania	1.48	0.27	0.01	0.09	0.01	4.00	0.26	0.01	0.26	0.01
Morocco	1.62	8.01	0.29	2.98	0.19	3.84	7.28	0.29	6.86	0.32
Syrian Arab Republic	7.97	3.72	0.14	6.81	0.43	3.70	4.06	0.16	3.68	0.17
Tunisia	4.50	3.64	0.13	3.75	0.24	4.84	3.76	0.15	4.47	0.21
Turkey	4.02	29.00	1.05	26.75	1.68	3.10	27.62	1.08	20.97	0.97
Yemen, Rep.	5.45	1.44	0.05	1.80	0.11	2.35	1.39	0.05	0.80	0.04
All Group	**4.36**	**100.0**	**3.6**	**100.0**	**6.3**	**4.08**	**100.0**	**3.9**	**100.0**	**4.6**

Appendix Table 2: Sources of Output Growth: 1995-2003 vs. 1989-1995

Group Summaries

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
World (110 Economies)	2.50	0.27	0.91	0.39	0.40	0.53	3.45	0.53	1.03	0.62	0.27	0.99
G7	2.18	0.38	0.90	0.07	0.42	0.42	2.56	0.69	0.74	0.28	0.18	0.67
Developing Asia	7.35	0.15	1.73	1.19	0.42	3.86	5.62	0.43	2.27	0.81	0.38	1.72
Non-G7	2.03	0.32	0.68	0.21	0.21	0.61	3.01	0.49	0.77	1.06	0.20	0.49
Latin America	3.06	0.16	0.58	1.20	0.37	0.75	2.11	0.39	0.61	1.10	0.34	-0.32
Eastern Europe	-7.05	0.10	-0.15	-0.86	0.36	-6.50	2.87	0.23	-0.81	0.01	0.39	3.06
Sub-Saharan Africa	1.21	0.13	0.24	1.66	0.56	-1.39	2.88	0.29	0.68	1.18	0.42	0.32
N. Africa & M. East	4.36	0.15	0.72	1.43	0.56	1.50	4.08	0.40	0.88	2.02	0.49	0.30

G7 (7 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
Canada	1.39	0.49	0.27	0.07	0.55	0.01	2.51	0.65	0.61	0.68	0.16	0.42
France	1.30	0.19	0.93	-0.17	0.61	-0.26	1.92	0.36	0.75	0.22	0.07	0.52
Germany	2.34	0.26	1.05	-0.42	0.33	1.12	0.86	0.40	0.50	-0.24	0.09	0.11
Italy	1.52	0.26	0.86	-0.35	0.38	0.37	1.48	0.46	0.96	0.61	0.27	-0.82
Japan	2.56	0.31	1.16	-0.39	0.54	0.94	1.39	0.56	0.26	-0.32	0.22	0.67
United Kingdom	1.62	0.27	1.69	-0.73	0.49	-0.10	2.55	0.65	0.19	0.38	0.26	1.07
United States	2.43	0.49	0.71	0.57	0.36	0.31	3.56	0.88	1.01	0.50	0.17	0.99
All Group	2.18	0.38	0.90	0.07	0.42	0.42	2.56	0.69	0.74	0.28	0.18	0.67

Developing Asia (16 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
Bangladesh	4.91	0.03	1.22	1.67	0.54	1.46	4.66	0.20	2.32	1.25	0.48	0.41
Cambodia	7.48	0.06	1.89	1.77	0.56	3.21	6.01	0.35	2.76	1.42	0.47	1.02
China	9.94	0.17	2.12	0.87	0.45	6.33	7.13	0.63	3.17	0.45	0.39	2.49
Hong Kong	5.42	0.33	1.61	0.78	0.22	2.48	2.50	0.65	1.56	0.78	0.18	-0.67
India	5.03	0.09	1.18	1.27	0.43	2.06	6.15	0.26	1.77	1.22	0.41	2.49
Indonesia	6.82	0.10	1.62	1.64	0.43	3.04	2.41	0.09	1.47	0.91	0.41	-0.47
Malaysia	8.45	0.28	2.27	2.11	0.39	3.40	4.33	0.44	1.91	1.89	0.26	-0.17
Nepal	4.62	0.11	1.18	1.31	0.50	1.51	3.91	0.43	1.35	1.62	0.45	0.06
Pakistan	4.10	0.20	1.17	1.46	0.51	0.76	3.47	0.27	0.73	1.50	0.45	0.52
Philippines	2.57	0.11	0.63	1.60	0.36	-0.13	3.91	0.20	0.94	1.46	0.32	0.98
Singapore	7.95	0.39	1.80	1.81	0.26	3.69	3.91	0.70	1.62	1.06	0.18	0.35
South Korea	7.48	0.29	2.31	1.45	0.31	3.13	4.09	0.46	1.67	0.86	0.26	0.85
Sri Lanka	5.24	0.08	1.26	1.42	0.35	2.13	2.77	0.39	1.27	1.80	0.34	-1.04
Taiwan	6.59	0.23	1.95	0.91	0.26	3.23	4.06	0.54	2.15	0.43	0.25	0.70
Thailand	8.58	0.10	2.22	1.19	0.33	4.74	2.19	0.12	1.00	0.43	0.31	0.34
Vietnam	6.49	0.20	1.39	1.27	0.53	3.10	6.76	0.47	2.43	1.16	0.55	2.16
All Group	7.35	0.15	1.73	1.19	0.42	3.86	5.62	0.43	2.27	0.81	0.38	1.72

Non-G7 (15 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
Australia	2.58	0.35	0.48	0.69	0.20	0.87	3.73	0.51	1.00	0.93	0.19	1.10
Austria	2.48	0.36	0.91	0.35	0.18	0.68	1.99	0.46	0.66	-0.26	0.18	0.94
Belgium	1.84	0.44	0.51	-0.18	0.19	0.87	2.07	0.75	0.15	0.19	0.19	0.80
Denmark	1.93	0.54	0.44	-0.24	0.16	1.03	2.14	0.78	0.90	0.20	0.17	0.10
Finland	-1.33	0.37	0.01	-2.21	0.19	0.31	3.76	0.60	-0.01	0.49	0.19	2.49
Greece	0.85	0.16	0.45	0.57	0.27	-0.60	3.97	0.38	0.93	0.78	0.26	1.62
Ireland	5.32	0.16	0.89	1.04	0.21	3.02	7.99	0.56	2.26	1.46	0.19	3.52
Israel	7.03	0.39	1.47	2.85	0.22	2.11	2.34	0.51	1.35	1.50	0.21	-1.23
Netherlands	2.31	0.37	0.56	0.83	0.19	0.37	2.53	0.48	0.44	1.35	0.18	0.08
New Zealand	2.58	0.38	0.22	1.16	0.17	0.65	2.95	0.44	0.51	0.90	0.18	0.92
Norway	3.33	0.18	0.09	0.57	0.22	2.26	2.36	0.32	0.43	0.48	0.16	0.96
Portugal	2.09	0.24	1.26	-0.53	0.24	0.88	2.52	0.50	1.04	0.59	0.23	0.16
Spain	1.89	0.25	1.12	-0.15	0.26	0.42	3.42	0.36	1.08	2.41	0.24	-0.67
Sweden	0.75	0.43	0.39	-0.69	0.21	0.41	2.81	0.78	0.34	0.24	0.19	1.26
Switzerland	0.43	0.22	0.53	0.46	0.16	-0.94	1.24	0.34	0.26	0.30	0.15	0.20
All Group	**2.03**	**0.32**	**0.68**	**0.21**	**0.21**	**0.61**	**3.01**	**0.49**	**0.77**	**1.06**	**0.20**	**0.49**

Latin America (19 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
Argentina	6.17	0.18	0.24	-0.19	0.31	5.62	0.69	0.30	0.35	0.91	0.28	-1.14
Bolivia	3.69	0.15	0.58	2.35	0.38	0.23	2.81	0.78	1.00	1.35	0.35	-0.68
Brazil	1.97	0.09	0.29	0.99	0.39	0.20	1.94	0.46	0.24	0.67	0.37	0.21
Chile	7.24	0.31	1.60	1.52	0.25	3.55	3.83	0.54	2.23	0.98	0.24	-0.15
Colombia	4.09	0.15	1.04	1.79	0.38	0.73	1.79	0.67	0.32	0.71	0.35	-0.26
Costa Rica	3.60	0.57	1.54	1.62	0.30	-0.43	4.18	0.99	1.29	1.46	0.28	0.17
Ecuador	2.70	0.14	0.50	2.01	0.42	-0.36	1.22	0.36	0.15	1.44	0.38	-1.12
El Salvador	4.73	0.21	1.12	2.17	0.38	0.85	2.66	0.47	1.04	1.69	0.36	-0.89
Guatemala	3.46	0.11	0.58	1.97	0.44	0.37	2.93	0.45	1.04	1.86	0.42	-0.85
Honduras	0.98	0.17	1.06	2.35	0.50	-3.09	2.58	0.40	1.47	1.89	0.45	-1.63
Jamaica	0.72	0.26	0.88	0.98	0.37	-1.77	0.76	0.64	0.66	0.48	0.34	-1.35
Mexico	2.19	0.24	0.95	1.48	0.38	-0.87	3.56	0.23	1.11	1.76	0.31	0.14
Nicaragua	-0.50	0.26	-0.31	1.32	0.50	-2.26	2.10	0.40	0.91	2.27	0.46	-1.94
Panama	4.32	0.13	0.99	1.95	0.33	0.92	2.62	0.19	1.82	1.33	0.29	-1.01
Paraguay	4.36	0.08	1.00	1.88	0.35	1.05	0.28	0.49	0.26	1.48	0.32	-2.27
Peru	4.19	0.14	0.78	1.86	0.40	1.01	2.62	0.22	0.79	1.59	0.34	-0.32
Trinidad and Tobago	0.76	0.11	0.31	1.60	0.33	-1.60	5.10	0.29	1.26	1.65	0.31	1.59
Uruguay	3.79	0.20	0.37	0.52	0.28	2.43	0.01	0.47	0.35	-0.27	0.27	-0.81
Venezuela	3.31	0.13	0.12	1.91	0.44	0.71	-2.07	0.27	-0.03	1.31	0.39	-4.02
All Group	**3.06**	**0.16**	**0.58**	**1.20**	**0.37**	**0.75**	**2.11**	**0.39**	**0.61**	**1.10**	**0.34**	**-0.32**

Eastern Europe (14 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
Albania	-3.83	0.07	1.28	-0.44	0.39	-5.13	5.37	0.89	2.36	-0.07	0.39	1.80
Bulgaria	-3.80	0.10	-0.46	-2.24	0.40	-1.60	-0.69	0.21	-0.45	-0.45	0.34	-0.34
Croatia	-4.79	0.35	1.10	-1.08	0.40	-5.56	3.52	0.82	1.48	-0.30	0.37	1.16
Czech Republic	-0.97	0.17	-0.16	0.00	0.24	-1.21	1.65	0.35	0.25	-0.18	0.24	0.99
Estonia	-6.38	0.27	-0.48	-1.82	0.25	-4.59	4.19	0.98	-0.31	-0.31	0.27	3.56
Hungary	-2.59	0.23	0.20	-0.84	0.27	-2.45	3.36	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.27	1.81
Latvia	-12.06	0.34	0.26	-1.95	0.28	-10.99	4.94	0.82	0.24	0.24	0.33	3.31
Lithuania	-8.35	0.21	0.30	-2.05	0.31	-7.11	4.54	0.54	0.40	0.15	0.35	3.11
Poland	0.71	0.10	-0.41	-0.50	0.34	1.18	3.69	0.44	0.50	-0.31	0.25	2.81
Romania	-2.35	0.03	-0.59	-0.60	0.44	-1.63	0.40	0.10	-0.29	-0.12	0.38	0.34
Russian Federation	-8.44	0.07	-0.07	-1.02	0.37	-7.79	3.18	0.10	-1.30	0.21	0.44	3.73
Slovakia	-3.95	0.15	-0.11	-0.29	0.30	-4.00	3.89	0.35	0.19	-0.07	0.30	3.12
Slovenia	-0.59	0.10	-0.69	-0.40	0.29	0.12	3.67	0.22	0.17	0.28	0.26	2.73
Ukraine	-13.10	0.10	-0.39	-0.52	0.41	-12.71	1.72	0.35	-2.16	-0.53	0.46	3.60
All Group	**-7.05**	**0.10**	**-0.15**	**-0.86**	**0.36**	**-6.50**	**2.87**	**0.23**	**-0.81**	**0.01**	**0.39**	**3.06**

Sub-Sahara Africa (28 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
Benin	3.67	0.05	0.10	1.68	0.42	1.42	5.25	0.20	2.05	1.70	0.36	0.94
Botswana	4.90	0.08	2.06	2.01	0.33	0.42	5.48	0.21	1.52	1.57	0.31	1.87
Burkina Faso	2.60	0.05	1.14	1.11	0.54	-0.23	4.57	0.15	2.16	0.89	0.49	0.88
Cameroon	-1.90	0.03	-0.15	1.72	0.69	-4.19	4.47	0.17	-0.02	1.56	0.62	2.14
Central African Republic	-2.36	0.04	0.42	1.28	0.45	-4.54	0.57	0.08	0.52	0.84	0.41	-1.28
Chad	-0.39	0.02	0.15	1.62	0.44	-2.63	5.56	0.09	3.42	1.41	0.42	0.22
Congo, Rep.	-1.15	0.02	0.35	1.84	0.66	-4.01	3.58	0.11	0.42	1.72	0.58	0.76
Cote d'Ivoire	0.79	0.04	-0.79	2.31	0.46	-1.23	1.04	0.27	-0.35	1.78	0.43	-1.08
Ethiopia	1.13	0.05	0.28	1.16	0.59	-0.94	4.83	0.38	0.99	1.48	0.48	1.50
Gabon	2.80	0.02	-0.42	1.47	0.53	1.20	1.70	0.07	0.14	0.99	0.51	-0.01
Ghana	4.02	0.04	0.84	1.62	0.44	1.07	3.97	0.38	1.96	1.71	0.39	-0.46
Guinea	4.16	0.02	0.76	1.39	0.47	1.53	3.53	0.11	0.51	1.61	0.47	0.83
Kenya	2.17	0.12	0.56	2.06	0.58	-1.14	1.67	0.33	0.49	2.32	0.51	-1.98
Madagascar	0.36	0.06	0.43	1.55	0.49	-2.18	2.38	0.23	0.83	1.98	0.47	-1.13
Malawi	4.36	0.04	-0.24	0.93	0.45	3.18	2.81	0.22	-1.23	1.22	0.41	2.18
Mali	2.67	0.03	0.72	1.32	0.45	0.15	6.43	0.13	0.85	1.32	0.41	3.71
Mauritius	5.58	0.28	1.84	1.00	0.33	2.13	5.03	0.53	1.56	0.77	0.31	1.86
Mozambique	-0.46	0.12	0.49	0.99	0.77	-2.83	7.91	0.29	2.91	1.33	0.67	2.72
Namibia	2.52	0.12	-0.02	1.84	0.28	0.30	3.25	0.38	0.85	-0.25	0.28	2.00
Niger	0.57	0.02	-0.74	1.74	0.73	-1.18	4.73	0.06	0.15	1.70	0.63	2.20
Nigeria	0.74	0.13	0.51	1.60	0.82	-2.31	-0.22	0.29	0.99	2.38	0.71	-4.59
Senegal	2.01	0.14	0.70	1.52	0.46	-0.80	4.62	0.60	1.68	1.54	0.43	0.37
South Africa	0.77	0.20	0.00	1.74	0.50	-1.68	2.65	0.30	0.24	0.36	0.27	1.49
Swaziland	3.74	0.06	0.97	2.25	0.38	0.07	2.93	0.24	0.87	1.87	0.34	-0.40
Tanzania	2.18	0.08	1.06	1.81	0.53	-1.30	4.43	0.28	0.38	1.51	0.49	1.77
Togo	-3.16	0.18	-0.03	1.19	0.50	-5.01	1.95	0.55	-0.18	1.92	0.44	-0.77
Uganda	6.79	0.05	1.07	1.72	0.48	3.48	5.76	0.33	2.28	1.04	0.43	1.68
Zambia	-1.15	0.06	-1.57	1.43	0.49	-1.55	4.22	0.15	-0.59	1.35	0.33	2.97
All Group	**1.21**	**0.13**	**0.24**	**1.66**	**0.56**	**-1.39**	**2.88**	**0.29**	**0.68**	**1.18**	**0.42**	**0.32**

North Africa and Middle-East (11 Economies)

Economy	Period 1989-1995						Period 1995-2003					
	GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)					GDP Growth	Sources of Growth (% points per annum)				
		Capital		Labor				Capital		Labor		
		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP		ICT	Non-ICT	Hours	Quality	TFP
Algeria	0.31	0.05	0.08	0.97	0.62	-1.41	3.38	0.11	0.20	2.33	0.54	0.20
Egypt	4.54	0.09	0.27	1.15	0.44	2.59	4.31	0.18	0.78	1.96	0.41	0.98
Iran	6.71	0.29	0.06	1.26	0.87	4.23	5.39	0.79	0.70	2.78	0.75	0.37
Jordan	5.58	0.15	1.17	3.93	0.44	-0.11	3.86	0.45	0.56	2.96	0.39	-0.51
Lebanon	13.51	0.27	-1.34	1.89	0.43	12.27	4.14	0.34	0.01	1.77	0.36	1.65
Mauritania	1.48	0.06	-0.08	1.51	0.59	-0.60	4.00	0.65	0.91	1.29	0.52	0.63
Morocco	1.62	0.16	0.63	0.65	0.41	-0.22	3.84	0.31	0.90	2.40	0.38	-0.14
Syrian Arab Republic	7.97	0.22	0.03	2.19	0.67	4.87	3.70	0.62	0.06	2.15	0.55	0.33
Tunisia	4.50	0.11	0.81	1.80	0.42	1.36	4.84	0.26	0.85	1.63	0.39	1.71
Turkey	4.02	0.10	1.90	1.77	0.38	-0.14	3.10	0.27	1.49	1.15	0.31	-0.11
Yemen, Rep.	5.45	0.28	1.93	3.41	0.70	-0.86	2.35	0.69	2.02	1.37	0.61	-2.33
All Group	**4.36**	**0.15**	**0.72**	**1.43**	**0.56**	**1.50**	**4.08**	**0.40**	**0.88**	**2.02**	**0.49**	**0.30**

Appendix Table 3: Levels of Output and Input Per Capita and Productivity (U.S. =100 in 2000)

Group Summaries

Country	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
World	**18.9**	**20.0**	**23.9**	**38.5**	**38.5**	**42.4**	**49.0**	**52.0**	**56.3**
G7	66.9	72.8	85.5	72.8	77.4	86.4	91.9	94.1	99.0
Developing Asia	6.0	8.5	12.1	19.1	21.5	26.2	31.7	39.7	46.1
Non-G7	51.5	56.0	68.0	61.9	64.9	75.9	83.2	86.4	89.5
Latin America	18.6	20.0	21.0	27.1	28.2	30.5	68.4	71.0	68.7
Eastern Europe	34.3	22.5	29.3	43.2	41.4	42.6	79.4	54.4	68.8
Sub-Sahara Africa	5.3	4.8	5.0	15.7	15.7	16.7	33.5	30.6	30.0
N. Africa & M. East	12.5	14.2	17.0	22.3	23.2	27.3	55.9	61.1	62.3

G7 (7 Economies)

Economy	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
Canada	79.4	80.2	91.0	75.0	75.7	83.2	105.9	105.9	109.5
France	54.5	57.4	64.7	53.7	57.4	62.1	101.5	100.0	104.2
Germany	59.0	65.5	69.4	71.6	74.3	78.0	82.4	88.2	89.0
Italy	57.7	62.5	69.9	55.9	59.2	70.7	103.2	105.6	98.9
Japan	56.3	64.4	70.8	72.5	78.3	81.7	77.7	82.2	86.7
United Kingdom	56.9	61.8	73.7	61.7	67.5	73.9	92.2	91.6	99.8
United States	80.6	86.3	106.4	84.4	89.1	101.4	95.5	96.9	104.9
All Group	**66.9**	**72.8**	**85.5**	**72.8**	**77.4**	**86.4**	**91.9**	**94.1**	**99.0**

Developing Asia (16 Economies)

Economy	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
Bangladesh	3.6	4.3	5.5	12.0	13.4	16.3	29.8	32.5	33.6
Cambodia	3.4	4.2	5.7	12.2	12.5	15.6	28.0	33.9	36.8
China	4.8	8.1	13.4	17.9	20.7	28.0	26.9	39.3	48.0
Hong Kong	58.9	75.3	81.5	73.4	80.9	92.3	80.2	93.1	88.2
India	5.0	6.0	8.6	15.9	17.0	19.9	31.2	35.3	43.1
Indonesia	8.3	11.3	12.2	23.7	26.8	29.9	35.3	42.3	40.7
Malaysia	16.3	23.2	27.3	29.4	34.1	40.7	55.4	68.0	67.1
Nepal	3.3	3.7	4.4	13.8	14.3	16.8	23.9	26.1	26.3
Pakistan	5.2	5.7	6.3	12.6	13.2	13.8	41.5	43.4	45.3
Philippines	10.0	10.1	12.1	19.9	20.3	22.4	50.1	49.7	53.7
Singapore	41.5	57.9	65.7	70.7	79.0	87.1	58.7	73.3	75.4
South Korea	24.3	35.8	46.5	37.1	45.4	55.0	65.4	78.9	84.5
Sri Lanka	7.9	10.0	11.2	20.0	22.4	27.3	39.3	44.7	41.1
Taiwan	31.8	44.5	57.8	42.3	48.8	60.0	75.1	91.1	96.4
Thailand	12.1	18.6	21.2	30.9	35.7	39.7	39.2	52.0	53.5
Vietnam	3.3	4.5	7.0	12.1	13.9	18.0	27.1	32.6	38.8
All Group	**6.0**	**8.5**	**12.1**	**19.1**	**21.5**	**26.2**	**31.7**	**39.7**	**46.1**

Non-G7 (15 Economies)

Economy	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
Australia	61.2	66.6	81.7	76.1	78.6	88.3	80.4	84.7	92.5
Austria	56.3	61.9	71.4	69.0	72.8	77.9	81.6	85.0	91.7
Belgium	56.4	61.7	71.2	60.1	62.4	67.5	93.9	98.9	105.5
Finland	60.3	54.1	71.6	83.3	73.3	79.5	72.5	73.8	90.1
Greece	36.7	37.3	50.2	42.5	44.8	53.0	86.3	83.2	94.7
Ireland	37.3	50.0	86.0	46.8	52.4	68.0	79.6	95.4	126.4
Israel	41.2	51.2	51.7	42.8	46.8	52.1	96.3	109.3	99.1
Netherlands	56.3	62.1	72.5	69.9	75.4	87.4	80.6	82.4	82.9
New Zealand	48.9	52.0	60.5	72.2	73.9	79.8	67.7	70.4	75.8
Norway	67.1	79.5	91.7	82.1	84.9	90.7	81.7	93.6	101.0
Portugal	34.6	39.3	46.6	49.1	52.9	62.0	70.4	74.2	75.2
Spain	43.6	48.3	61.5	47.5	51.3	68.9	91.8	94.1	89.2
Sweden	60.1	60.5	74.7	86.7	85.2	95.0	69.4	71.1	78.6
Switzerland	75.9	73.6	77.8	95.6	97.9	101.9	79.5	75.1	76.3
All Group	**51.5**	**56.0**	**68.0**	**61.9**	**64.9**	**75.9**	**83.2**	**86.4**	**89.5**

Latin America (19 Economies)

Economy	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
Argentina	23.0	30.7	29.7	34.1	32.5	34.4	67.5	94.5	86.3
Bolivia	7.3	7.9	8.3	19.8	21.1	23.4	37.0	37.5	35.5
Brazil	19.9	20.5	21.5	29.3	29.8	30.8	67.9	68.7	69.8
Chile	17.8	24.9	30.5	33.4	37.7	46.8	53.4	66.1	65.3
Honduras	6.9	6.1	6.1	12.1	12.9	14.7	56.9	47.3	41.5
Jamaica	10.2	10.0	10.0	19.8	21.7	24.0	51.5	46.3	41.6
Mexico	22.2	22.3	26.6	28.0	29.7	34.9	79.3	75.3	76.1
Nicaragua	6.9	5.6	5.4	12.2	11.5	12.8	56.2	49.0	42.0
Panama	15.0	17.3	18.8	26.4	28.9	34.0	56.7	59.9	55.3
Paraguay	14.0	15.4	13.0	20.9	21.6	21.8	66.9	71.3	59.4
Peru	11.2	13.0	14.0	18.5	20.2	22.4	60.6	64.4	62.8
Trinidad and Tobago	31.6	31.7	45.4	40.8	45.1	56.8	77.4	70.4	79.9
Uruguay	22.0	26.4	25.0	34.1	35.4	35.8	64.4	74.5	69.8
Venezuela	21.2	22.4	16.3	25.5	25.8	25.8	83.3	86.9	63.0
All Group	**18.6**	**20.0**	**21.0**	**27.1**	**28.2**	**30.5**	**68.4**	**71.0**	**68.7**

Eastern Europe (14 Economies)

Economy	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
Albania	10.7	8.7	12.4	21.1	23.2	0.3	50.8	37.4	43.2
Bulgaria	24.8	20.8	20.9	37.5	34.7	35.8	66.1	60.1	58.5
Croatia	28.3	21.6	30.1	30.8	32.9	41.8	91.7	65.7	72.1
Czech Republic	41.6	39.4	45.5	55.3	56.3	60.1	75.2	69.9	75.6
Estonia	32.1	23.9	36.7	54.5	53.4	61.7	58.9	44.7	59.4
Hungary	29.5	25.7	34.0	37.2	37.5	42.9	79.4	68.5	79.1
Latvia	35.2	18.2	29.3	41.1	41.1	50.8	85.7	44.3	57.7
Lithuania	32.9	20.3	29.7	37.8	35.6	40.8	87.1	56.8	72.9
Poland	20.9	21.4	29.1	38.0	36.4	39.4	54.9	58.9	73.8
Romania	17.6	15.6	16.8	23.1	22.6	23.7	76.0	68.9	70.8
Slovakia	37.7	29.3	39.8	45.7	45.3	47.8	82.4	64.8	83.2
Slovenia	39.7	38.5	51.6	53.0	51.1	54.9	75.0	75.5	93.9
Ukraine	33.1	15.2	18.5	36.6	35.9	32.9	90.4	42.2	56.3
All Group	**34.3**	**22.5**	**29.3**	**43.2**	**41.4**	**42.6**	**79.4**	**54.4**	**68.8**

Sub-Sahara Africa (28 Economies)

Economy	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
Benin	2.9	3.0	3.7	14.5	13.9	15.9	19.9	21.7	23.4
Botswana	16.9	18.2	24.5	26.7	28.0	32.4	63.5	65.1	75.6
Burkina Faso	2.5	2.5	3.0	11.1	11.4	12.7	22.4	22.1	23.7
Cameroon	7.8	5.9	7.0	15.1	14.7	14.8	51.3	39.9	47.4
Central African Repu	3.8	3.4	3.0	14.6	17.0	17.0	25.9	19.7	17.8
Chad	3.2	2.6	3.3	14.4	13.8	16.9	22.5	19.2	19.6
Congo, Rep.	8.8	6.9	7.2	24.2	24.2	23.6	36.4	28.6	30.4
Cote d'Ivoire	6.7	5.9	5.1	20.3	19.1	18.0	33.1	30.8	28.2
Ethiopia	1.8	1.7	2.0	9.5	9.4	10.1	19.0	17.9	20.2
Gabon	23.1	23.2	22.2	34.5	32.2	30.8	67.1	72.1	72.0
Ghana	3.4	3.6	4.2	11.3	11.4	13.6	29.9	31.9	30.8
Guinea	7.1	7.7	8.5	22.7	22.6	23.4	31.2	34.2	36.5
Madagascar	2.8	2.4	2.3	11.4	11.3	11.7	24.4	21.4	19.5
Malawi	1.8	1.9	2.2	13.7	12.4	11.6	13.0	15.7	18.7
Mali	2.3	2.3	3.3	12.7	12.5	13.0	18.5	18.7	25.1
Mauritius	25.2	32.9	45.2	38.2	43.9	52.0	65.9	74.9	86.9
Mozambique	2.8	2.4	3.8	11.6	11.9	15.1	24.2	20.4	25.4
Namibia	16.2	17.3	18.3	27.9	29.2	26.4	58.0	59.1	69.3
Niger	2.8	2.4	2.7	10.7	9.7	9.2	26.3	24.5	29.3
Nigeria	3.5	3.0	2.4	9.9	10.0	11.5	35.0	30.5	21.1
Senegal	4.4	4.2	5.0	15.8	16.0	18.3	27.9	26.5	27.3
South Africa	23.4	21.6	23.4	25.7	26.3	25.3	90.8	82.1	92.5
Swaziland	12.2	12.7	13.0	20.4	21.1	22.4	59.9	60.1	58.2
Tanzania	1.4	1.3	1.6	8.3	8.5	8.7	17.0	15.7	18.1
Togo	3.8	2.6	2.6	9.8	9.1	9.6	39.2	29.0	27.3
Uganda	2.0	2.5	3.1	10.9	10.9	12.0	18.5	22.8	26.0
Zambia	2.7	2.2	2.6	14.2	12.2	11.4	19.4	17.7	22.4
All Group	**5.3**	**4.8**	**5.0**	**15.7**	**15.7**	**16.7**	**33.5**	**30.6**	**30.0**

North Africa and Middle-East (11 Economies)

Economy	Output Per Capita			Input Per Capita			Productivity		
	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003	1989	1995	2003
Algeria	18.3	16.2	18.7	22.5	21.7	24.7	81.0	74.5	75.7
Egypt	9.2	10.7	13.0	18.2	18.0	20.2	50.7	59.2	64.1
Iran	12.5	16.9	23.1	23.9	25.1	33.3	52.3	67.4	69.4
Jordan	11.4	11.6	12.5	17.5	17.9	20.1	65.0	64.6	62.0
Lebanon	7.0	14.0	17.3	23.0	22.0	23.9	30.4	63.4	72.4
Mauritania	4.3	4.6	5.1	13.8	15.1	16.0	31.4	30.3	31.8
Morocco	11.3	11.1	13.3	19.5	19.5	23.5	58.0	57.2	56.6
Syrian Arab Republic	8.7	11.7	12.7	20.0	20.0	21.3	43.5	58.3	59.8
Tunisia	14.0	16.3	21.7	24.7	26.5	30.8	56.7	61.5	70.6
Turkey	16.4	18.6	21.0	27.0	30.9	35.1	60.8	60.3	59.7
Yemen, Rep.	3.7	3.9	3.7	10.3	11.4	0.1	36.0	34.2	28.4
All Group	**12.5**	**14.2**	**17.0**	**22.3**	**23.2**	**27.3**	**55.9**	**61.1**	**62.3**

References.

Ahmad, Nadim, Paul Schreyer, and Anita Wolfl (2004), "ICT Investment in OECD Countries and Its Economic Impact," Chapter 4 in OECD, The Economic Impact of ICT: Measurement, Evidence, and Implications, Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Baldwin, John R., and Tarek M. Harchaoui (2003), Productivity Growth in Canada – 2002, Ottawa: Statistics Canada.

Barro, Robert J., and Jong-Wha Lee (2001), "International Data on Educational Attainment: Updates and Implications," Oxford Economic Papers, Vol. 53, No. 4, July, pp. 541-563.

Business Software Alliance (2003), Global Software Piracy Study: Trends in Piracy, 1994-2002, Washington, DC: Business Software Alliance USA, June. See:

http://www.bsa.org/usa/research/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/utilities/handle-link.cfm&thelink=CP__PAGEID=16808,index.cfm,443.

Daveri, Francesco (2002), "The New Economy in Europe: 1992-2001," Oxford Review of Economic Policy, Vol. 18, No. 4, September, pp. 345-362.

Grimm, Bruce, Brent Moulton, and David Wasshausen (2005), "Information Processing Equipment and Software in the National Accounts," in Carol Corrado, John Haltiwanger, and Daniel Sichel, eds., Measuring Capital in the New Economy, Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, forthcoming. See: http://www.nber.org/books/CRIW02/grimm-et-al7-22-04.pdf.

Heston, Alan, Robert Summers, and Bettina Aten (2002), Penn World Table Version 6.1, Philadelphia, PA: Center for International Comparisons at the University of Pennsylvania (CICUP), October. See: http://pwt.econ.upenn.edu/aboutpwt.html.

International Telecommunications Union (2004), World Telecommunications Indicators, Geneva: International Telecommunications Union, October. See: http://www.itu.int/ITU

D/ict/publications/world/world.html.

Jorgenson, Dale W. (2001), "Information Technology and the U.S. Economy," American Economic Review, Vol. 91, No. 1, March, pp. 1-32.

_____ (2003), "Information Technology and the G7 Economies," World Economics, Vol. 4, No. 4, October-December, pp. 139-170.

Jorgenson, Dale W. (2005), "Accounting for Growth in the Information Age," in Philippe Aghion and Steven Durlauf, Handbook of Economic Growth, Amsterdam, North-Holland, forthcoming. See:

http://post.economics.harvard.edu/faculty/jorgenson/papers/acounting_for_growth_050121.pdf.

Jorgenson, Dale W., Mun S. Ho, and Kevin J. Stiroh (2005), Information Technology and the American Growth Resurgence, Cambridge, The MIT Press.

Jorgenson, Dale W., and Kazuyuki Motohashi (2005), "Information Technology and the Japanese Economy," Journal of the Japanese and International Economies, Vol. 19, No. 4, December, forthcoming. See:

http://www.nber.org/~confer/2004/triof04/motohashi.pdf.

Jorgenson, Dale W., and Kevin J. Stiroh (2000), "Raising the Speed Limit: U.S. Economic Growth in the Information Age," Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 1, pp. 125-211.

Jorgenson, Dale W., and Eric Yip (2000), "Whatever Happened to Productivity Growth?" in Charles R. Hulten, Edwin R. Dean, and Michael J. Harper, eds., New Developments in Productivity Analysis, Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, pp. 509-540.

Kaufmann, Daniel, Aart Kray, and Massimo Mastruzzi (2004), "Governance Matters III: Governance Indicators for 1996-2002," Washington, DC: World Bank, April. See:

http://www.worldbank.org/wbi/governance/pdf/govmatters3_wber.pdf.

Krugman, Paul (1994), "The Myth of Asia's Miracle," Foreign Affairs, Vol. 73, No. 6, November/December, pp. 62-78.

Lau, Lawrence J. (1999), "The Sources of East Asian Economic Growth," in Gustav Ranis, Sheng-Cheng Hu, and Yun-Peng Chu, The Political Economy of Comparative Development in the 21st Century, Northampton, MA, Edward Elgar, pp. 45-75.

Maddison, Angus (2001), The World Economy: A Millenial Perspective, Paris, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (2002), Purchasing Power Parities and Real Expenditures, 1999 Benchmark Year, Paris, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. See: http://www.sourceoecd.org.

Oliner, Stephen D., and Daniel J. Sichel (2000), "The Resurgence of Growth in the Late 1990's: Is Information Technology the Story?" Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 14, No. 4, Fall, pp. 3-22.

Piatkowski, Marcin, and Bart van Ark (2005), "ICT and Productivity Growth in Transition Economies: Two-Phase Convergence and Structural Reforms," TIGER Working Paper Series No. 72, Warsaw, January 2005. See: http://www.tiger.edu.pl/publikacje/TWPNo72.pdf.

van Ark, Bart, Johanna Melka, Nanno Mulder, Marcel Timmer, and Gerard Ypma (2003, updated 2005), ICT Investment and Growth Accounts for the European Union, 1980-2000, Brussels, European Commission, March. See: http://www.ggdc.net/pub/online/gd56-2(online).pdf.

United Nations (2004), Human Development Report 2004, New York, NY: United Nations. See: http://hdr.undp.org/.

World Bank (2004), World Development Indicators 2004, Washington, DC: World Bank. See: http://www.worldbank.org/data/wdi2004/index.htm.

World Information Technology and Services Alliance, Digital Planet Report (2002, 2004), Washington, DC, World Information Technology and Services Alliance. See: http://www.witsa.org/.

Young, Alwyn (1995), The Tyranny of Numbers: Confronting the Statistical Realities of the East Asian Growth Experience," <u>Quarterly Journal of Economics</u>, Vol. 106, No. 1, August, pp. 641-680.

_____ (2003), "Gold into Base Metals: Productivity Growth in the People's Republic of China during the Reform Period," <u>Journal of Political Economy</u>, Vol. 111, No. 6, December, pp. 1220-1261.