

Global Imbalances and Currency Wars at the ZLB

Ricardo Caballero¹ Emmanuel Farhi² Pierre-Olivier Gourinchas³

¹MIT & NBER

²Harvard & NBER

³UC Berkeley & NBER

Pacific Basin Research Conference, San Francisco, November 2016

Global Imbalances






Figure: Current Account, % of World GDP

Global Interest Rates (Short and Long)




(a) policy rates




(b) 10-year nominal yields

Output Gap (Advanced Economies), percent




Global Exchange Rates



The figure reports $\ln(E/E_{2007m1})$ where E denotes the foreign currency value of the dollar.

U.S. Interest Rate and Equity Risk Premium



Source: one-year Treasury yield: Federal Reserve H.15; ERP: Duarte & Rosa (2015).

Goal

- ▶ Simple model to shed light on these developments:
 - ▶ transparent, parsimonious
 - ▶ closed-form solutions
- ▶ Capital flows, exchange rates, unemployment and risk premia
- ▶ Away from, or at Zero Lower Bound (ZLB)
- ▶ Policy

Main Ideas

- ▶ ZLB tipping point for Global Imbalances (**benign** to **malign**):
 - ▶ no ZLB → propagation of **low interest rates** via CA surpluses
 - ▶ ZLB → propagation of **recessions** via CA surpluses
- ▶ Regime of increased policy interdependence (\pm spillovers):
 - ▶ FX (zero sum)
 - ▶ inflation targets (positive sum)
 - ▶ government spending (positive sum)
 - ▶ public debt issuance (positive sum)
 - ▶ helicopter drops of money (positive sum)
 - ▶ some forms of QE (positive sum)

Literature Review

Four strands of related literature:

- ▶ Asset shortages and global imbalances (Bernanke (2005), Caballero et al (2008), Mendoza et al (2009))
- ▶ Liquidity traps in NK models & open economy (Keynes (1936), Krugman (1998), Eggertsson & Woodford (2003), Eggertsson & Krugman (2012), Werning (2012), Jeanne (2009), Cook & Devereux (2013), Benigno & Romei (2014))
- ▶ Secular Stagnation (Summers 2014), Caballero & Farhi (2015), Eggertsson & Mehrotra (2014))
- ▶ Safety and public debt (Stein (2012), Gorton & Ordonez (2014), Caballero & Farhi (2015), Barro and Mollerus (2015))

Closest paper to ours: Eggertsson, Mehrotra, Sing & Summers (2015).

Basic Model: Two Countries, no Risk

- ▶ Home and Foreign
- ▶ Endowment X of H good grows at rate g
- ▶ Endowment X^* of F good grows at rate g
- ▶ Relative size (constant): $x = \frac{X}{X+X^*}$.

Home Assets

- ▶ Dividends δX capitalized by Lucas trees:
 - ▶ rate of depreciation ρ
 - ▶ rate of new trees creation ρ
- ▶ Public debt $D = dX$ financed by taxes τ

Home Agents

- ▶ OLG “perpetual youth” with birth/death Poisson rate θ ;
- ▶ Earn income at birth, save it, and consume at death;
- ▶ Consumption shares on (H, F) : $(x, 1 - x)$;
- ▶ Income of newborns: $(1 - \tau)(1 - \delta)X$ + value of new trees

Financial Development/Securitization Capacity

- ▶ Interpret δ as financial development/securitization capacity, not capital share
- ▶ Only small part of capital income pledgeable to outside investors as “dividend” on tradable assets
- ▶ Depends on financial development/securitization capacity
- ▶ Interpret ρ as technological churn and expropriation risk
- ▶ V_t/PV_t depends on δ and ρ

$$PV_t = \int_t^{\infty} X_s e^{-\int_t^s r_u du} ds$$

$$V_t = \delta \int_t^{\infty} X_s e^{-\int_t^s (r_u + \rho) du} ds$$

Nominal Rigidities and Monetary Policy

- ▶ Competitive CES final good sector in each country
- ▶ Reinterpret endowment as non-traded input
 - ▶ transformed into variety of intermediate good sold monopolistically
 - ▶ H prices rigid in H currency, F prices rigid in F currency (PCP)
 - ▶ accommodate demand at posted price
- ▶ Capacity utilization $\xi \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ Truncated Taylor rule: $i = \max\{r^n - \psi(1 - \xi), 0\}$
- ▶ Real interest rate $r = i$

Foreign

Same as H but different parameters:

- ▶ Financial development/securitization capacity: $\delta^* \neq \delta$
- ▶ Public debt to GDP ratio $d^* \neq d$ and taxes $\tau^* \neq \tau$
- ▶ Other differences (extensions):
 - ▶ demographics and credit constraints (savers/borrowers)
 - ▶ securitization capacity & demand for safe assets
 - ▶ inflation targets

Equilibrium Equations (along BGP)

- ▶ **Asset pricing** (V : value of H trees in H currency)

$$\begin{aligned}r^w V &= -\rho V + \delta \xi X \\ r^w V^* &= -\rho V^* + \delta^* \xi^* X^*\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Wealth accumulation** (W : H financial wealth in H currency):

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{W} &= gW = -\theta W + (1 - \delta)(1 - \tau)\xi X + r^w W + (\rho + g)V \\ \dot{W}^* &= gW^* = -\theta W^* + (1 - \delta^*)(1 - \tau^*)\xi^* X^* + r^w W^* + (\rho + g)V^*\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Government budget constraints:**

$$\begin{aligned}(r^w - g)D &= \tau(1 - \delta)\xi X \\ (r^w - g)D^* &= \tau^*(1 - \delta^*)\xi^* X^*\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **Goods market clearing:** (E : nominal exchange rate)

$$\begin{aligned}x\theta(W + EW^*) &= \xi X \\ (1 - x)\theta(W + EW^*) &= E\xi^* X^*\end{aligned}$$

ZLB “Complementary Slackness”

- ▶ No liquidity trap

$$r^w > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \xi^* = 1$$

- ▶ Global liquidity trap

$$r^w = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi, \xi^* \leq 1$$

- ▶ All or none world

No Liquidity Trap

- ▶ World interest rate as “average” of autarky interest rates

$$r^w = r^{w,n} = -\rho + \frac{\bar{\delta}\theta}{1 - \theta\bar{d}}$$

with

$$r^{a,n} = -\rho + \frac{\delta\theta}{1 - \theta d} \quad \text{and} \quad r^{a,n*} = -\rho + \frac{\delta^*\theta}{1 - \theta d^*}$$


- ▶ Net Foreign Assets and Current Account

$$\frac{NFA}{X} = \frac{(1 - \theta d)(r^w - r^{a,n})}{(g + \theta - r^w)(\rho + r^w)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{CA}{X} = g \frac{NFA}{X}$$

- ▶ Exchange rate

$$E = 1$$

Standard Metzler Diagram - Global



The global equilibrium interest rate r^w is such that world financial markets are in equilibrium: $\frac{NFA}{X^w} = x \frac{NFA}{X} + (1-x) \frac{NFA^*}{X^*} = 0$.

Global Liquidity Trap

- World interest rate

$$r^w = 0$$

- Fixed-point equations for ξ and ξ^*

$$\xi = \frac{\theta}{g + \theta} \left[x\xi \left(1 + \frac{g\delta}{\rho} \right) + (1-x)E\xi^* \left(1 + \frac{g\delta^*}{\rho} \right) + xgd + (1-x)gd^* \right]$$

$$\xi^* = \frac{1}{E} \frac{\theta}{g + \theta} \left[x\xi \left(1 + \frac{g\delta}{\rho} \right) + (1-x)E\xi^* \left(1 + \frac{g\delta^*}{\rho} \right) + xgd + (1-x)gd^* \right]$$

- Multiple equilibria indexed by $E \dots$ (Kareken-Wallace)

$$E = \frac{\xi}{\xi^*}$$

Global Liquidity Trap

- Output gaps as “FX-weighted averages” of autarky output gaps

$$\xi = x \frac{1 - \frac{\delta\theta}{\rho}}{1 - \frac{\bar{\delta}\theta}{\rho}} \xi^{a,l} + (1-x) \frac{1 - \frac{\delta^*\theta}{\rho}}{1 - \frac{\bar{\delta}\theta}{\rho}} E \xi^{a,l*}$$

$$\xi^* = x \frac{1 - \frac{\delta\theta}{\rho}}{1 - \frac{\bar{\delta}\theta}{\rho}} \frac{1}{E} \xi^{a,l} + (1-x) \frac{1 - \frac{\delta^*\theta}{\rho}}{1 - \frac{\bar{\delta}\theta}{\rho}} \xi^{a,l*}$$

with

$$\xi^{a,l} = 1 + \frac{1 - \theta d}{1 - \frac{\delta\theta}{\rho}} \frac{r^{a,n}}{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^{a,l*} = 1 + \frac{1 - \theta d^*}{1 - \frac{\delta^*\theta}{\rho}} \frac{r^{a,n*}}{\rho}$$

- Net Foreign Assets and Current Account

$$\frac{NFA}{X} = \frac{(1 - \frac{\delta\theta}{\rho})(\xi - \xi^{a,l})}{g + \theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{CA}{X} = g \frac{NFA}{X}$$

Output Determination in the Global ZLB





figure reports Home (ξ) and Foreign (ξ^*) output at the global ZLB, for different values of the exchange rate $E \in [\underline{E}, \bar{E}]$.

Metzler Diagram in Quantities - Global



Given E , ξ is such that world financial markets are in equilibrium:

$$\frac{NFA}{X}(E) = x \frac{NFA}{X} + (1-x)E \frac{NFA^*}{X^*} = 0.$$

Currency Wars and Reserve Currency Paradox

- ▶ E determined by market coordination or FX intervention (peg)
- ▶ Beggar-thy-neighbor devaluations (zero-sum)

$$E \uparrow \implies \xi \uparrow \xi^* \downarrow \frac{CA}{X} \uparrow$$

- ▶ Reserve currency paradox

Inflation

- ▶ ‘Old’ Keynesian Phillips curves (downward sticky prices)

$$[\pi_{H,t} + \kappa_0 + \kappa_1(1 - \xi_t)](1 - \xi_t) = 0$$

$$[\pi_{F,t}^* + \kappa_0^* + \kappa_1^*(1 - \xi_t^*)](1 - \xi_t^*) = 0$$

- ▶ Taylor rules with inflation targets $\bar{\pi} > 0$ and $\bar{\pi}^* > 0$

$$i_t = \max\{0, r_t^n + \bar{\pi} + \phi(\pi_{H,t} - \bar{\pi})\}$$

$$i_t^* = \max\{0, r_t^{n*} + \bar{\pi}^* + \phi^*(\pi_{F,t}^* - \bar{\pi}^*)\}$$

Inflation

- ▶ With $r^{w,n} < 0$, multiple equilibria with different TOT: $S = \frac{EP_F^*}{P_H}$
- ▶ **No liquidity traps** equilibrium ($i > 0, i^* > 0$) if inflation targets high enough: $r^{w,n} + \min\{\bar{\pi}, \bar{\pi}^*\} > 0$
- ▶ **Global liquidity trap** equilibrium ($i = i^* = 0$) with deflationary spiral
 - ▶ at world level, more wage flexibility \rightarrow deeper recession
 - ▶ at country level, more wage flexibility \rightarrow shallower recession
- ▶ **Asymmetric liquidity trap** equilibrium ($i = 0, i^* > 0$)
 - ▶ no recession in one country
 - ▶ worse recession in the other
- ▶ Inflation targets (positive sum) vs. FX interventions (zero sum)

Public Debt and Helicopter Drops of Money

- ▶ Public debt expansion (positive sum)...

$$d \uparrow \implies \xi \uparrow \xi^* \uparrow \frac{CA}{X} \downarrow$$

- ▶ ...but not if used to finance asset purchases
(different in model with safe and risky assets)
- ▶ Larger multiplier if higher private asset supply $\bar{\delta}$
- ▶ Equivalent to helicopter drops of money

Government Spending

- ▶ Government spending (positive sum)

$$G \uparrow \implies \xi \uparrow \xi^* \uparrow \frac{CA}{X} \downarrow$$


- ▶ Domestic multiplier > 1 in SR
(net asset supply boost + inflation boost through stimulus)
- ▶ More foreign leakage in LR
(TOT appreciation)

More in Paper

- ▶ Home bias
- ▶ Non-unitary trade elasticities
- ▶ Borrowers and savers
 - ▶ aging
 - ▶ deleveraging
- ▶ Safe assets and global safe asset shortages (zoom in)


U.S. MPK

Real Returns on Capital (percent)




SOURCE: Authors' calculations; for details, see Gomme, Ravikumar, and Rupert (2011).

U.S. Interest Rate and Equity Risk Premium



Source: one-year Treasury yield: Federal Reserve H.15; ERP: Duarte & Rosa (2015).

Safe Asset Imbalances



Note: Net Safe positions defined as the sum of Official Reserves (minus Gold), Portfolio Debt and Other Assets, minus Portfolio Debt and Other Liabilities. Source: Lane & Milesi-Ferretti (2007).

Regions defined as in Figure 1.

Safe Assets and Global Safe Asset Shortages

- ▶ Endogenous risk premia, **increases at the ZLB**
- ▶ Links reserve currency paradox and exorbitant privilege
- ▶ Can have ZLB in one country but not other (\neq real interest rates)
- ▶ Policy:
 - ▶ QE issue debt/purchase risky (not safe!) assets (positive sum)
 - ▶ support private securitization capacity (positive sum)
 - ▶ forward guidance (reduced effectiveness)

Safe Assets: Shocks and Preferences

- ▶ Disaster shock /w Poisson rate $\lambda \rightarrow 0$: output drops $\mu < 1$
- ▶ Set $d = d^* = 0$ and $\delta = \delta^*$
- ▶ Fraction α 'Knightians' (infinitely risk averse), $1 - \alpha$ Risk Neutral.
- ▶ Knightians have *full* home bias.
- ▶ Neutrals have '*some*' home bias

Safe Assets: Securitization & Tranching

- ▶ Fraction $\phi < 1$ of H dividend **tranch**ed and recombined.:
 - ▶ **Poisson puts** (pay nothing until Poisson shock)
 - ▶ **Poisson calls** (pay only until the Poisson shock)
- ▶ Knightians invest in **safe** assets combining puts and calls
- ▶ Neutrals invest in the rest
- ▶ **Constrained regime**: safe assets are scarce & Knightians price safe assets at the margin (safety premium).

Modified UIP and Risk Premia

- ▶ Fix exchange rate immediately after the shock E^+
- ▶ No-arbitrage requires:

$$\frac{r^w - r^K}{r^w - r^{K*}} = \frac{E}{E^+}$$

- ▶ **modified UIP equation**: the country with a high safety premium ($r^K < r^{K*}$) has a currency that will appreciate when the shock occurs ($E > E^+$).
- ▶ **Reserve Currency Paradox**: if Home's currency is expected to appreciate in bad times ($E > E^+$), then $r^K < r^{K*}$ and Home is more likely to experience a liquidity trap
- ▶ if $\phi > \phi^*$ then $NFA/X < 0$: **exorbitant privilege**.
- ▶ Metzler diagram in **safe assets**

Conclusion

This paper:

- ▶ Model of global and local, permanent or persistent liquidity traps (secular stagnation)
- ▶ Traps in one country propagate to other countries
- ▶ Powerful beggar-thy-neighbor effects vis FX
- ▶ Model accounts for decline in risk-free rate and increase in risk premia
- ▶ Paradox of the reserve currency: reserve countries suffer a disproportionate share of the trap