Macro Risks and the Term Structure

by Bekaert, Engstrom, and Ermolov

discussion by Mikhail Chernov

5th Fixed-Income Conference | November 2015



This version: November 4, 2015

- The paper constructs a novel term structure model
- Factors of this model are related to macro fundamentals
- Some of these fundamentals are obtained as a by-product of estimating aggregate supply and demand shocks

The model

- I will ignore unconditional means throughout
- The real (log) pricing kernel is

$$m_{t+1} - E_t m_{t+1} = \lambda_{pd} \omega_{p,t+1}^d + \lambda_{nd} \omega_{n,t+1}^d + \lambda_{ps} \omega_{p,t+1}^s + \lambda_{ns} \omega_{n,t+1}^s,$$

where the shocks ω are de-meaned Gamma variables with time-varying shape parameter, e.g.,

$$\omega_{n,t}^d \sim \Gamma(n_{t-1}^d, 1) - n_{t-1}^d, \quad n_t^d \text{ is AR}(1)$$

The real short interest rate is

$$y_{1,t} = a_g E_t g_{t+1} + a_\pi E_t \pi_{t+1} + a_{pd} \hat{p}_t^d + a_{nd} \hat{n}_t^d + a_{ns} \hat{n}_t^s + z_t,$$

$$E_t g_{t+1} = \hat{b}_g g_t + \hat{b}_\pi \pi_t,$$

$$E_t \pi_{t+1} = \hat{c}_e \pi_t^e + \hat{c}_\pi \pi_t,$$

and z_t is AR(1).

Estimation and fit

- The model is estimated with 1, 5, and 10-year nominal, and 2, 5, and 10-year real zero-coupon Treasuries
- Time-series plots of the actual and fitted 5-year nominal yield
- Unconditional correlations of the data and model for others
- The paper also offers a prequel to the model where bond yields and excess returns are regressed on the shape parameters

AD/AS shocks

- Quick and dirty "textbook" model of AD/AS
- Assume that current potential output is equal to the output from the previous period and inflation target is constant

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + \beta g_t + \sigma_s u_t^s$$
$$g_t = \alpha \pi_t + \sigma_d u_t^d$$

• The corresponding VAR is:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_t &\propto \pi_{t-1} + \sigma_s u_t^s + \beta \sigma_d u_t^d \\ g_t &\propto \alpha \sigma_s u_t^s + \sigma_d u_t^d \end{aligned}$$

Shock extraction

- What are these ω 's and how are they related to AS/AD shocks, $u_t = (u_t^s, u_t^d)^\top$?
- Consider a standard VAR in $f_t = (\pi_t, g_t)^\top$:

$$f_t = \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi_i f_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t, \quad E \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_t^\top = \Sigma$$

Then the disturbances and shocks have the usual relationship:

$$A_0\varepsilon_t = u_t, \quad A_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_{\pi s} & \sigma_{\pi d} \\ \sigma_{gs} & \sigma_{gd} \end{pmatrix}$$

Identification?

- In general, cannot estimate in the traditional setup with normal ε_t
- The sign restriction restriction tells us which shock is AS

The approach

- Switch from normal to non-normal shocks
- Variance is not enough to characterize them, so need more equations – easier to identify
- The specification, $\varepsilon_t = A_0^{-1} u_t$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_t^s &= \sigma_p^s \omega_{p,t}^s - \sigma_n^s \omega_{n,t}^s \\ u_t^d &= \sigma_p^d \omega_{p,t}^d - \sigma_n^d \omega_{n,t}^d \end{aligned}$$

- Can express moments of ε_t via A_0 and higher-order moments of u_t
 - Infinite amount of moments depend on 4 + infinite number of parameters
 - ▶ The authors pick 7 moments that depend on 4+2 parameters

The Wold representation and non-iid shocks

- In order to estimate ε_t the authors construct conditional means of π and g via a linear projection on a bunch of stuff
- The preferred specification is used in their term structure model:

$$E_t g_{t+1} = \hat{b}_g g_t + \hat{b}_\pi \pi_t,$$

$$E_t \pi_{t+1} = \hat{c}_e \pi_t^e + \hat{c}_\pi \pi_t$$

- Appears as an implicit appeal to the Wold representation
- The residuals need not coincide with shocks
- Put differently, shock structure determines conditional expectations of variables

Conclusion

- Potentially useful approach towards identification of shocks
- Seems like the approach has a potential for a lot of applications
- Not sure (yet) if term structure is the best application