Presentation to the San Diego Rotary Sheraton West, Harbor Island, San Diego, California For delivery June 7, 12:50 PM Pacific Daylight Time (3:50 PM Eastern) By Robert T. Parry, President, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

## A Monetary Policymaker's Perspective on the Economy

- I. Good afternoon. It's a pleasure to be here.
  - A. I'd like to give you my views on the national economy.
  - B. And I'll also say a few words about economic conditions in California and the San Diego region.
- II. I'll start by putting recent events in the national economy in perspective.
  - A. From mid-1995 to mid-2000, the U.S. economy had a phenomenal run.
    - 1. Annual growth averaged a very high 4-1/2 percent rate during those years, and the inflation rate actually edged down.
    - 2. A key contributor was the surge in technological advances.
      - a It helped boost productivity growth to an average rate of almost three percent a year during this period.
      - b This upward shift in productivity growth means the economy's *potential* growth rate is higher.
      - c That is, the economy has been able to expand at a faster rate for a number of years
        - i. without generating inflationary pressures.
  - B. Since the middle of last year, of course, the economy has slowed quite a bit—
    - 1. —averaging a growth rate of only about one and a half percent.
    - 2. Furthermore, some sectors actually are in recession—most notably, manufacturing.

- 3. And within manufacturing, high tech has really been shrinking.
  - a I'll be returning to this point, because it's a very significant development in both the national and the local economic stories.
- III. To understand where we go from here, it's useful to look at what contributed to the slowdown.
  - A. By the middle of 1999, real GDP growth was faster than could be sustained—even *with* optimistic estimates of productivity growth.
    - 1. This, combined with financial market developments, indicated that inflation could become a concern.
    - 2. So the Fed raised the funds rate gradually from mid-1999 though mid-2000 to rein in demand.
  - B. On top of that, some other factors also slowed demand in terms of business investment—and, as I mentioned, this had a significant effect on investment in high-tech equipment and software.
    - 1. First, unexpected increases in the prices of oil, natural gas, and electricity cut into firms' budgets.
    - 2. Second, the drop in stock prices raised firms' cost of capital.
      - a Part of this stock price slide may be related to a re-evaluation of the longrun profitability of many high-tech firms.
        - Now, don't get me wrong. I still think we're not near the end of the surge in technological innovation that has propelled the economy since the mid-1990s.
      - b It's just that—even with this surge—markets may have gotten carried away.
    - 3. The third factor slowing business demand is what I'll call "the overhang problem."
      - a For years, there was extraordinarily strong growth in business investment in high-tech—averaging more than twenty percent a year from 1996 to 2000.
      - b After all that investment, many firms seem to have large stocks of capital equipment *already*.

- i. So they're not in the market to buy more.
- c This suggests that there may be an "overhang" of business equipment and software that spells weakness in business spending going forward.
- C. Finally, let me say a word about the consumer side of the demand slowdown.
  - Typically, the three items I mentioned that have been slowing business demand—
    the energy surprise, the drop in stock prices, and the "overhang"—also tend to
    slow consumer demand.
  - 2. But, so far, at least, while consumer spending has slowed some, it has held up remarkably well.
- IV. Looking forward, I'd say the U.S. economy still has a lot going for it, and that we're likely to see an acceleration in growth by the end of the year.
  - A. As you know, the Fed has responded to economic weakness by cutting short-term interest rates five times since January.
    - 1. Those cuts have brought the federal funds rate down from 6-1/2 percent in January to 4 percent today.
    - 2. This easing will help stimulate the economy.
  - B. In addition, the recently passed tax cuts will probably add some strength to the economy before the year's end—
    - 1. —and they may provide more of a boost in 2002.
  - C. Finally, as I mentioned before, the continuing advances in technology suggest that the economy's *potential* for growth is a good deal higher than before.
    - 1. So—even though we're in a cyclical slowdown—in economists' terms, we're probably "cycling around a higher potential growth rate."
  - D. No doubt, the road ahead may be rocky, since there *are* some downside risks.
    - 1. For example, if the stock market or consumer confidence slide a good deal more, households could cut back further on spending.
    - 2. Another risk is the global nature of the slowdown.

- a When the U.S. economy slows, it tends to have a dampening effect on many other economies,
  - i. and that feeds back to us through a smaller demand for our exports.
- b Moreover, some of the slowing in Asia and Europe appears to be related to economic issues specific to those countries.
- E. While these risks are important to keep in mind, it's also important to recognize that the 250-basis-point reduction in the funds rate overall represents a significant easing of policy.
  - 1. This easing affects the economy with fairly long lags, so it may be some time before the effects are fully felt
    - a —perhaps through the end of this year and into next year.
  - 2. As this stimulus unfolds, the Fed will need to keep its eye on its longer-term goal of price stability.
- V. Now let me turn to the economy closer to home.
  - A. The California story is very similar to the U.S. story with a couple of important differences.
    - 1. First, the slowdown in California has been less pronounced than in the rest of the U.S.
    - 2. Second, our economy is more vulnerable to greater slowing in the future as adjustments in the technology sector and the energy crisis play out.
  - B. Given the prominence of technology firms in California, we can expect to be hit harder than the rest of the U.S.
    - 1. Indeed, we've already seen the slowing in the technology sector spill over to other sectors of the economy.
      - a For example, vacancies are up and lease rates are falling in some commercial office markets.
      - b And growth in personal income has slowed from its frenzied pace of the last several years while stock values have declined.
  - C. On the energy front, it's hard to predict precisely how the crisis will affect the state's

economy, but here's a rough guess.

- 1. The electricity rate hikes this year, combined with the higher cost of natural gas, will equal about 1-1/4 percent of output in the state.
  - a This will wipe out our advantage as a low energy consuming state.
- 2. The summer blackouts are a wildcard, but based on projected supplies and usage of electricity, the disruptions from outages easily could be frequent enough to affect economic growth.
- D. Despite these vulnerabilities, the California economy remains structurally sound.
  - 1. And we're coming off a very strong run.
    - a California's job growth last year was almost four percent.
  - 2. Compared to that, even average growth feels and looks like bad times.
- E. Turning to the local picture, San Diego, of course, faces the same vulnerabilities.
  - 1. Over the past several years, San Diego has become one of the nation's leading centers for developing and producing biotechnology, pharmaceuticals, and wireless communications equipment.
    - a Indeed, many of America's premier players in these high-tech markets
      - i. —Gen-Probe, Agouron Pharmaceuticals, and Qualcomm for example—
    - b —have facilities in the area.
    - c And other established technology businesses in fields such as computer manufacturing and software development are headquartered in your area
      - i. —Gateway Computers and Science Applications International (SAIC) come to mind.
    - d This is not to mention a host of smaller start-ups, including a number of niche biotech companies.
    - e While being a technology center has brought rewards to this area,

- i. as we've seen, it also can bring risks.
- 2. The other area of vulnerability, of course, is the electricity crisis.
  - a San Diego Gas and Electric has not had the same financial difficulties as Southern California Edison or Pacific Gas and Electric.
  - b Still, rate hikes for consumers and businesses are almost a certainty.
    - As you know from last summer, increased prices for electricity can have a substantial impact on consumer budgets and business profits.
  - c And if there are significant power outages this summer, they may, as I mentioned earlier, be substantial enough to temper economic growth.
- F. Balancing these risks is some pretty good news.
  - 1. This area ended 2000 with considerable momentum, and things look good so far this year.
  - 2. Over the past twelve months, job growth averaged about 3 percent,
    - a with nearly every major sector of the economy adding jobs.
  - 3. Residential and commercial real estate markets remain strong,
    - a producing continued expansion in construction payrolls.
- G. Going forward, then, the San Diego area economy appears to be relatively sound.
  - 1. And, with its highly educated population
    - a and vibrant research community, anchored by UC San Diego,
    - b it has an excellent base that can help keep it competitive in our rapidly changing national economy.

###