Risk Allocation, Debt Fueled Expansion and Financial Crisis

Paul Beaudry Amartya Lahiri

March 2010

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Introduction

- The US and a number of other economies went into a financial crisis in 2008
- Widening risk spreads and credit markets freezing up
- A recession also set in around the same time
- No apparent change in productivity
- Recession appears to be driven by the financial crisis

Introduction

- Prevailing explanations
 - Governance and regulation issues
 - Monetary policy
 - Global imbalances
- All these likely have some truth
- But they all rely on mistakes and errors

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Our Approach

- Can the episode be understood as the outcome of optimal behavior, not errors?
 - was it the market solution to a specific allocation problem faced by the economy during this period?
- If so, the mechanism needs to be able explain both the expansion between 2001-07 and the collapse
- How could a freeze in a secondary debt market have such big effects?
 - our main contribution: link between the financial and real sides

Motivating data: Leverage ratio



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Motivating data: Declining risk premium



▲ロト ▲聞 ▶ ▲臣 ▶ ▲臣 ▶ ― 臣 … のん

Motivating data: Rising Profits



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ 二副 - 釣A(?)

Motivating data: Rising Productivity



Motivating data: Tepid investment



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Key takeaways from this data

- Profits were very high and productivity was strong
- Investment was tepid
- > Yet borrowing was rising and the risk premium was falling
- Our interpretation
 - Financial innovation allowed more insurance and better risk allocation

Credit driven expansion

- Have a clear link between the financial and real sectors
- Generate expansion through credit growth
- Expansion shouldn't be driven by the standard investment margin
- Contraction in activity should be possible due to financial sector developments alone

Three Key Questions

- Under aggregate risk, how does an economy adjust to high profits in the absence of profitable investment avenues?
- Can such an adjustment explain a credit-driven boom?
- Could such an economy be particularly sensitive to a market freeze?

Model Building Blocks

- Labor input is risky as labor productivity is stochastic
 - greater risk exposure reduces labor supply to market
- Availability of insurance is key to employment decisions
 - financial sector becomes important player
- Heterogeneity of agents in risk tolerance
 - markets allocate risk to those with higher tolerance

The Model

- Static version
 - highlights the key allocation problem

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Two types of agents
 - Worker-households
 - Financiers

Environment

- Firms produce output using labor
- Workers allocate time between market and leisure
- Employment decisions made before the realization of labor productivity
- Claims against risky output can be traded in asset markets

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Technology

Output is produced using

$$y = AI$$

Productivity is stochastic and drawn from a binomial process

$${\cal A} = \left\{egin{array}{ccc} 1 & ext{with probability } q \ heta & ext{with probability } 1-q \end{array}
ight.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Timing of events

- Beginning of period both asset markets and labor markets open
 - Agents trade risky claims (stocks) and risk-free bonds
 - Employment and wage decisions are made in labor markets

- A is revealed, y is produced
- Claims are settled
- Economy ends

Firms

- Hire labor to produce
- Wages paid before the realization of the productivity shock

- Firms issue shares (risky claims) to finance wages
- Each share pays
 - 1 unit of the good in a good state
 - θ units of the good in a bad state
- ► Firms maximize p^sS wl
 - subject to the solvency constraint $S \leq I$

Worker-Households

- Workers have one unit of labor time: market work or leisure
- Start with initial debt d
- They maximize

$$V^{w} = E\left[u\left(c^{w} + g\left(1 - l\right)\right)\right]$$

State-contingent budget constraints

$$p^{s}s^{w} + p^{b}b^{w} = wl$$
$$c_{g}^{w} = s^{w} + b^{w} - d$$
$$c_{b}^{w} = \theta s^{w} + b^{w} - d$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Financiers

- Start with initial financier assets d
- Risk-neutral financiers maximize

$$V^F = E\left[c^F
ight]$$

State-contingent budget constraints

$$p^{s}s^{F} + p^{b}b^{F} = 0$$
$$c_{g}^{F} = s^{F} + b^{F} + d$$
$$c_{b}^{F} = \theta s^{F} + b^{F} + d$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Key Optimality Conditions

• Financier consumption:
$$c_b^F = 0$$
 and $c_g^F = \frac{(1-\theta)d}{\frac{p^s}{p^b} - \theta}$

▶ Portfolio positions:
$$s^F = \frac{(1-\theta)d}{\frac{p^s}{p^b} - \theta}$$
 and $b^F = -\frac{\frac{p^s}{p^b}d}{\frac{p^s}{p^b} - \theta}$

• Optimal work:
$$\frac{w}{p^b} = g'(1-I)$$

▶ / increasing in p^s/p^b (inverse of risk premium) since $w = p^s$

s

Equilibrium Employment Increasing in Debt

Proposition 1: The level of employment is a continuous and weakly increasing function of the initial debt level d. This function, which we denote by $I = \phi^{I}(d)$, is strictly increasing in d when $d \in (0, \tilde{d}), \tilde{d} > 0$, and is constant for all $d \geq \tilde{d}$.

Proposition 2: The risk premia is a continuous and weakly decreasing function of the debt level *d*. This function, which we denote by $\frac{p^b}{p^s} = \phi^p(d)$, is strictly decreasing in *d* when $d \in (0, \tilde{d})$, $\tilde{d} > 0$, and is constant for all $d \ge \tilde{d}$. Moreover, $\phi^p(d) < \frac{p_a^b}{p_a^s}$ for all d > 0.

► d > ũ : no risk premium – economy becomes effectively riskless

Intuition

- > Financial intermediaries use their assets to acquire risky claims
 - become residual claimants of risky output
- Greater initial assets of financial intermediaries
 - greater purchase of risky claims by intermediaries
 - Iower risk premium
- Cheaper insurance against risk raises wages and employment

Dynamic Extension

- Embed the static structure in an OLG setting
- Two-period lived workers and financiers
- Workers choose borrowing (d) when young
- Mature financiers make positive bequests to young financiers in good states

Provides a link between periods

Mechanics

- Good shocks raise residual claims
- Financial intermediaries able to acquire more assets today

- they buy more risky claims tomorrow
- risk premium falls, employment rises tomorrow
- Bad productivity shock: process reverses
- Financial markets amplify and propagate shocks
- Gradual boom, sudden crash

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{Case} \ 1 \\ \mathsf{Debt} \ \mathsf{dynamics:} \ \bar{d} < \tilde{d} \end{array}$



NURNURNERNER E 1990

Default Risk

- Suppose worker i has a probability ψ^i that he will have productive market labor
- ▶ Each workers draws a $\psi \in [0, 1]$ from an i.i.d. distribution with density $f(\psi)$
- \blacktriangleright With probability $1-\psi^i$ he will have no wage income and will default on debt
- Actual productivity of worker revealed to firms before hiring

Debt Repackaging

- Each financier contracts with a specific worker type
- ullet Financier has a portfolio with individual default risk $1-\psi^i$
- Beginning of period, market for debt repackaging opens
 - All portfolios offered for repackaging are pooled and repackaged by an intermediary
 - New synthetic portfolio k has no idiosyncratic risk
- \blacktriangleright Payoff on synthetic debt is $\hat{\psi}$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \hat{\psi}$ is expected repayment rate of the whole distribution put up for pooling

Asymmetric information

- Suppose financiers learn the repayment rate ψ on their portfolio before the debt repackaging market opens
- Adverse selection problem
 - High ψ debt holders have an incentive to hold their debt back

• Market return on debt pools all ψ 's

Multiple equilibria: An Example



Example: f is uniform in [0,1]

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Financial Crisis

Suppose economy is in optimistic equilibrium

- sequence of good shocks, output and debt grow, risk premium falls
- Sudden switch in expectations to pessimistic
 - no new insurance as debt market freezes: financial crisis
 - employment falls below autarky levels
 - employment becomes independent of state persistent
- Recession occurs due to the financial crisis
 - low employment and output can persist despite high productivity

Conclusion

- Model of a debt fueled expansion
- Financial sector propagates and amplifies shocks
- Mechanism translates a financial "freeze" into a persistent real shock

- Lack of standard investment options key to this fragility
- Operates without collateral constraints
 - key are financial sector assets, not firm assets