

James Hamilton's Comments on
"Regime Shifts in a
Dynamic Term Structure Model ..."
By Dai, Singleton, and Wang

P_t = price of security at t

r_t = risk-free rate

If investors risk neutral,

$$P_t = E_t(e^{-r_t} P_{t+1})$$

P_t depends on state $\mathbf{x}_t = (\mathbf{y}'_t, s_t)'$

“factor” $\mathbf{y}_t \in \mathfrak{R}^N$

$$\mathbf{y}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_t, s_{t+1} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_t, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}'_t)$$

$\boldsymbol{\mu}_t$ depends on \mathbf{y}_t and s_t

$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t$ depends only on s_t

neither depends on s_{t+1}

P_t depends on state $\mathbf{x}_t = (\mathbf{y}'_t, s_t)'$

“regime” $s_t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, S\}$

$\pi_t(s_{t+1}) = \text{Prob that } t + 1 \text{ regime}$
is s_{t+1} given \mathbf{x}_t

P_t = price of security at t

r_t = risk-free rate

If investors risk neutral,

$$P_t = E_t[e^{-r_t} P_{t+1}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})]$$

Suppose instead that investors behaved as if risk-neutral with beliefs

$$\mathbf{y}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_t, S_{t+1} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_t^Q, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t')$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_t^Q = \boldsymbol{\mu}_t - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t$$

$$\mu_t^Q = \mu_t - \Sigma_t \Lambda_t$$

e.g., scalar case: $\Lambda_t > 0$

$$\uparrow \text{risk} \Rightarrow \uparrow \Sigma_t \Rightarrow \mu_t^Q \downarrow$$

so investors do not value payoffs
correlated with the factor

Λ_t = “market price of factor risk”

Likewise, suppose that to evaluate probability of seeing s_{t+1} investors used not the true $\pi_t(s_{t+1})$ but instead

$$\pi_t(s_{t+1}) \exp[-\Gamma_t(s_{t+1})]$$

e.g., if $\Gamma_t(s_{t+1}) > 0$, investors put less value on payoff when state is s_{t+1}

Γ_t = “market price of regime risk”

If the market used these distorted probabilities to evaluate

$$P_t = E_t^Q [e^{-r_t} P_{t+1}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1})],$$

the density used to find this expectation would be

$$f_t^Q(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) = \pi_t^Q(s_{t+1}) f_t^Q(\mathbf{y}_{t+1})$$

$$f_t^Q(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t|^{-1} \times \\ \exp\left[-\frac{(\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t^Q)' (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t')^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t^Q)}{2}\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t^Q)' (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t')^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t^Q) \\
&= (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t)' (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t')^{-1} \times \\
&\quad (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t) \\
&= (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t)' (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t')^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t) \\
&\quad + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t' \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t + 2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t)
\end{aligned}$$

Conclusion:

$$f_t^Q(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) = f_t(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) \times \exp\left[-(1/2)\Lambda_t' \Lambda_t - \Lambda_t' \Sigma_t^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t)\right]$$
$$\pi_t^Q(s_{t+1}) = \pi_t(s_{t+1}) \exp[-\Gamma_t(s_{t+1})]$$

$$f_t^Q(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) = f_t^Q(\mathbf{y}_{t+1})\pi_t^Q(s_{t+1})$$

$$= f_t(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \exp(z_{t+1})$$

$$z_{t+1} = -(1/2)\Lambda_t' \Lambda_t - \Lambda_t' \Sigma_t^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_t) \\ - \Gamma_t(s_{t+1})$$

Can we justify the pricing rule?

$$\begin{aligned} P_t &= E_t^Q(e^{-r_t} P_{t+1}) \\ &= E_t(e^{-r_t} e^{z_{t+1}} P_{t+1}) \\ &= E_t(M_{t+1} P_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $M_{t+1} = e^{-r_t} e^{z_{t+1}}$

e.g., $M_{t+1} = \beta U'(c_{t+1})/U'(c_t)$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_t^Q = \boldsymbol{\mu}_t - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t$$

parameterization:

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_t = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_t^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0(s_t) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}_Y(s_t) \mathbf{y}_t]$$

implies

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_t^Q = \boldsymbol{\mu}_t - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0(s_t) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}_Y(s_t) \mathbf{y}_t$$

assume:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_t = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_Y(s_t) \mathbf{y}_t + \mathbf{d}^*(s_t) + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{y}_t$$

$$E_t^Q(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) = \mathbf{d}(s_t) + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{y}_t$$

market acts as if $\mathbf{y}_t \sim VAR(1)$

with regime-shift intercept

and variance

$$r_{t,n} = A_n(s_t) + \mathbf{B}'_n \mathbf{y}_t$$

where $A_n(j)$, \mathbf{B}_n are known functions of other params

If there are $N = 3$ factors, then
 $N = 3$ interest rates,

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_t = (r_{t,6}, r_{t,24}, r_{t,120})'$$

could be used to calculate factors
 \mathbf{y}_t from $\hat{\mathbf{R}}_t$ and regimes:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{B}^{-1} [\hat{\mathbf{R}}_t - \mathbf{A}(s_t)]$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_6 \\ \mathbf{B}'_{24} \\ \mathbf{B}'_{120} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{A}(s_t) = \begin{bmatrix} A_6(s_t) \\ A_{24}(s_t) \\ A_{120}(s_t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{t+1} = \mathbf{c}(s_t) + \mathbf{C}\hat{\mathbf{R}}_t + \mathbf{v}_{t+1}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{t+1} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}(s_t))$$

VAR(1) with regime-switch
intercept and variance

assume m other interest rates

$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_t$ priced with error:

$$m = 1$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_t = r_{t,60}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{t+1} = A_{60}(s_{t+1}) + \mathbf{B}'_{60} \mathbf{y}_{t+1} + u_{t+1}$$

$$u_{t+1} \sim N(0, \Omega(s_{t+1}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{t+1} &= A_{60}(s_{t+1}) \\ &+ \mathbf{B}'_{60} \mathbf{B}^{-1} [\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{t+1} - \mathbf{A}(s_{t+1})] + u_{t+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{t+1} \\ \tilde{R}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}(s_t) \\ c(s_{t+1}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{d}' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_t \\ \tilde{R}_t \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{t+1} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(s_t, s_{t+1}))$$

Testable implications:

- (1) last column of VAR coeffs = 0
(guide for choosing \hat{R}_t vs. \tilde{R}_t)
- (2) VAR(1) vs. VAR(2)
- (3) forecasting (particularly large n)
- (4) fit for other $r_{t,n}$

What are factors?

two slopes and a butterfly

What are regimes?

recessions

Why not add industrial production growth to observation vector? (driven by both factors and regimes)