# THE CAUSAL EFFECTS OF INFLATION UNCERTAINTY ON HOUSEHOLD'S BELIEFS AND ACTIONS

Dimitris Georgarakos® European Central Bank Yuriy Gorodnichenko® UC Berkeley &

Olivier Coibion® UT Austin

The views expressed in the paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the ECB or the Eurosystem. The ordering of authors' names is randomized.

### Geoff Kenny® European Central Bank

"Fundamentally, a low and stable inflation rate is beneficial for the decisionmaking processes of households and firms. Choices regarding work, savings, and the expansion of business enterprises are likely to be harder when there is uncertainty about the likely future course of prices. Retirement planning by households and investment decisions by firms are, consequently, put on a sounder footing if there is confidence about the future value of the currency. The avoidance of this uncertainty is also likely to be beneficial for national economic performance." Fed Governor Philip Jefferson (2023)

"Fundamentally, a low and stable inflation rate is beneficial for the decisionmaking processes of households and firms. Choices regarding work, savings, and the expansion of business enterprises are likely to be harder when there is uncertainty about the likely future course of prices. Retirement planning by households and investment decisions by firms are, consequently, put on a sounder footing if there is confidence about the future value of the currency. The avoidance of this uncertainty is also likely to be beneficial for national economic performance." Fed Governor Philip Jefferson (2023)

"... making the Fed's inflation goals [inflation target] more explicit would reduce uncertainty and assist planning in financial markets and in the economy more generally." Fed Governor Ben Bernanke (2003)

"Fundamentally, a low and stable inflation rate is beneficial for the decisionmaking processes of households and firms. Choices regarding work, savings, and the expansion of business enterprises are likely to be harder when there is uncertainty about the likely future course of prices. Retirement planning by households and investment decisions by firms are, consequently, put on a sounder footing if there is confidence about the future value of the currency. The avoidance of this uncertainty is also likely to be beneficial for national economic performance." Fed Governor Philip Jefferson (2023)

"... making the Fed's inflation goals [inflation target] more explicit would reduce uncertainty and assist planning in financial markets and in the economy more generally." Fed Governor Ben Bernanke (2003)

"The topic of [inflation risk and uncertainty] could not be more timely." SNB Governor Thomas Jordan (2022)



Finance & economics | Cold weather, hot prices

### American inflation looks increasingly worrying

Trump's tariffs are fuelling consumer concerns, which may prove selffulfilling



PHOTOGRAPH: LEHEL KOVACS

Feb 18th 2025

Save < Share 🗳 Give

Expectations are clearly endogenous (e.g. correlations with time-varying unobservables, reverse causality, etc.), which makes it empirically challenging to identify their causal effect on households' decisions.

- Expectations are clearly endogenous (e.g. correlations with time-varying unobservables, reverse causality, etc.), which makes it empirically challenging to identify their causal effect on households' decisions.
- As high inflation tends to be more volatile inflation, inflation uncertainty should be systematically related to point predictions of inflation (i.e., 1st moments), making it hard to disentangle uncertainty effects from level effects.

- Expectations are clearly endogenous (e.g. correlations with time-varying unobservables, reverse causality, etc.), which makes it empirically challenging to identify their causal effect on households' decisions.
- As high inflation tends to be more volatile inflation, inflation uncertainty should be systematically related to point predictions of inflation (i.e., 1st moments), making it hard to disentangle uncertainty effects from level effects.
- **Measurement** of uncertainty in surveys is a relatively new development and linking it with actual household behavior is quite rare.

- Expectations are clearly endogenous (e.g. correlations with time-varying unobservables, reverse causality, etc.), which makes it empirically challenging to identify their causal effect on households' decisions.
- As high inflation tends to be more volatile inflation, inflation uncertainty should be systematically related to point predictions of inflation (i.e., 1st moments), making it hard to disentangle uncertainty effects from level effects.
- **Measurement** of uncertainty in surveys is a relatively new development and linking it with actual household behavior is quite rare.
- With decades of low and stable inflation in advanced economies, there has been **limited historical variation** in inflation uncertainty, making time series methods harder to use.

### WHAT WE DO

Randomized Control Trial: We use an RCT approach with randomized information treatments to different groups of households to induce exogenous variation in household expectations and uncertainty about future inflation in the euro area

### WHAT WE DO

- **Randomized Control Trial**: We use an RCT approach with randomized information  $\bullet$ treatments to different groups of households to induce *exogenous* variation in household expectations and uncertainty about future inflation in the euro area
- **Follow-up Surveys to Measure Outcomes**: By tracking the same households over time, we can measure how/whether the exogenous variation in inflation uncertainty (net of first moment expectations) affected household decisions:
  - i. **Spending** (durables and non-durables)
  - ii. Propensity to invest and actual **investment** in financial assets
  - iii. Labor search and employment outcomes
  - iv. Other choices (e.g., **mortgage** type; **shopping** intensity)

Higher inflation uncertainty, net of first moment expectations, ...

• **Reduces** the **spending** of households on 'big' ticket items

Higher inflation uncertainty, net of first moment expectations, ...

- **Reduces** the **spending** of households on 'big' ticket items
- **Reduces** the share of their desired and actual investment portfolio in **risky assets**

**Higher inflation uncertainty**, **net** of first moment expectations, ...

- **Reduces** the **spending** of households on 'big' ticket items
- **Reduces** the share of their desired and actual investment portfolio in **risky assets**
- **Increases job search** intensity leading to higher job acquisition for the unemployed and less  $\bullet$ under-employment for the employed

**Higher inflation uncertainty**, **net** of first moment expectations, ...

- **Reduces** the **spending** of households on 'big' ticket items
- **Reduces** the share of their desired and actual investment portfolio in **risky assets**
- **Increases job search** intensity leading to higher job acquisition for the unemployed and less under-employment for the employed
- **Preference** for fixed-rate mortgages & more intense shopping

Elicit (1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> moment) **prior** expectations and planned decisions







### **THE RCT IN PRACTICE**

• Monthly Internet panel from the ECB Consumer Expectations Survey (CES); eleven largest EA countries; ~ 19,000 households

## **THE RCT IN PRACTICE**

- Monthly Internet panel from the ECB Consumer Expectations Survey (CES); eleven largest EA countries; ~ 19,000 households
- September 2023: RCT is fielded in a 10 minute special-purpose survey following the regular survey wave

## **THE RCT IN PRACTICE**

- Monthly Internet panel from the ECB Consumer Expectations Survey (CES); eleven largest EA countries;  $\sim$  19,000 households
- **September 2023:** RCT is fielded in a 10 minute special-purpose survey following the regular survey wave
- October, November, December 2023 & January 2024: regular survey waves measuring  $\bullet$ spending, investment and labour market outcomes

### **INFORMATION TREATMENTS**

T1 (first moment): The average prediction among professional forecasters is that inflation in the euro area will be at 2.5% over the next 12 months.

### **INFORMATION TREATMENTS**

T1 (first moment): The average prediction among professional forecasters is that inflation in the euro area will be at 2.5% over the next 12 months.

T2 (second moment): Professional forecasters are exceptionally uncertain right now about inflation compared to recent years. As a result, there is a significant difference of 3.1 percentage points between the lowest and the highest predictions about inflation in the euro area over the next 12 months.

### **INFORMATION TREATMENTS**

T1 (first moment): The average prediction among professional forecasters is that inflation in the euro area will be at 2.5% over the next 12 months.

T2 (second moment): Professional forecasters are exceptionally uncertain right now about inflation compared to recent years. As a result, there is a significant difference of 3.1 percentage points between the lowest and the highest predictions about inflation in the euro area over the next 12 months.

T3 (first and second moment): *The average prediction among professional forecasters is that* inflation in the euro area will be at 2.5% over the next 12 months. At the same time, professional forecasters are exceptionally uncertain right now about inflation compared to recent years. As a result, there is a significant difference of 3.1 percentage points between the lowest and the highest predictions about inflation in the euro area over the next 12 months.











### TREATMENT EFFECTS ON 2<sup>ND</sup> MOMENT: LOG(ST.DEV.)





### **POST-TREATMENT BEHAVIOR: ESTIMATION**

 $\begin{aligned} \textbf{Outcome}_{i} &= \alpha_{1} Post_{i}^{mean} + \beta_{1} Post_{i}^{uncert} \\ &+ \alpha_{0} Prior_{i}^{mean} + \beta_{0} Prior_{i}^{uncert} + Controls + error_{i} \end{aligned}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Post}_{i}^{mean} &= a_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{j} \times I\{i \in Treat \ j\} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{3} b_{j} \times I\{i \in Treat \ j\} \times Prior_{i}^{mean} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} \times I\{i \in Treat \ j\} \times Prior_{i}^{uncert} + Controls \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Post}_{i}^{\textit{uncert}} &= \tilde{a}_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \tilde{a}_{j} \times I\{i \in Treat \ j\} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{3} \tilde{b}_{j} \times I\{i \in Treat \ j\} \times Prior_{i}^{mean} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{3} \tilde{c}_{j} \times I\{i \in Treat \ j\} \times Prior_{i}^{\textit{uncert}} + Controls \end{aligned}$$

 $s + error_i$ 

### $s + error_i$

	Dependent variable: 100×indicator variable is a good is purchased.					
	Home	Durable	Car	Holiday package	Luxury items	Other
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Posterior mean						
100×log(Posterior uncertainty)						
Observations	11,514	11,506	11,502	11,512	11,519	11,483
1 <sup>st</sup> stage F-stat (mean)	118.4	113.8	117.6	114.8	118	112.7
1 <sup>st</sup> stage F-stat (uncert)	100.5	99.29	99.10	100.7	101.9	101.2
KP Wald test	10.63	9.532	10.34	10.51	10.48	10.19

### Information treatments are powerful instruments.

	Dependent variable: 100×indicator variable is a good is purchased.					
	Home	Durable	Car	Holiday package	Luxury items	Other
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Posterior mean						
100×log(Posterior uncertainty)	-0.025** (0.010)	-0.230*** (0.057)	-0.024* (0.013)	-0.091 (0.065)	-0.021** (0.011)	-0.055* (0.034)
Observations	11,514	11,506	11,502	11,512	11,519	11,483
1 <sup>st</sup> stage F-stat (mean)	118.4	113.8	117.6	114.8	118	112.7
1 <sup>st</sup> stage F-stat (uncert)	100.5	99.29	99.10	100.7	101.9	101.2
KP Wald test	10.63	9.532	10.34	10.51	10.48	10.19

Higher inflation uncertainty leads to an immediate and large reduction in purchases of durable goods of different types.

	Dependent variable: 100×indicator variable is a good is purchased.					
	Home	Durable	Car	Holiday package	Luxury items	Other
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Posterior mean	0.421	4.812***	0.483	1.934	0.539*	0.451
	(0.268)	(1.369)	(0.315)	(1.578)	(0.283)	(0.863)
100×log(Posterior uncertainty)	-0.025**	-0.230***	-0.024*	-0.091	-0.021**	-0.055*
	(0.010)	(0.057)	(0.013)	(0.065)	(0.011)	(0.034)
Observations	11,514	11,506	11,502	11,512	11,519	11,483
1 <sup>st</sup> stage F-stat (mean)	118.4	113.8	117.6	114.8	118	112.7
1 <sup>st</sup> stage F-stat (uncert)	100.5	99.29	99.10	100.7	101.9	101.2
KP Wald test	10.63	9.532	10.34	10.51	10.48	10.19

Higher inflation expectations lead to a rise in durable goods purchases.

	Dependent variable: 100×indicator variable is a good is purchased.						
	Home	Durable	Car	Holiday package	Luxury items	Other	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Panel A. Separate two moments							
Posterior mean	0.421	4.812***	0.483	1.934	0.539*	0.451	
	(0.268)	(1.369)	(0.315)	(1.578)	(0.283)	(0.863)	
100×log(Posterior uncertainty)	-0.025**	-0.230***	-0.024*	-0.091	-0.021**	-0.055*	
	(0.010)	(0.057)	(0.013)	(0.065)	(0.011)	(0.034)	

Panel B. Use only 1<sup>st</sup> moment

	Dependent variable: 100×indicator variable is a good is purchased.					irchased.
	Home	Durable	Car	Holiday package	Luxury items	Other
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A. Separate two momen	ts					
Posterior mean	0.421	4.812***	0.483	1.934	0.539*	0.451
	(0.268)	(1.369)	(0.315)	(1.578)	(0.283)	(0.863)
100×log(Posterior uncertainty)	-0.025**	-0.230***	-0.024*	-0.091	-0.021**	-0.055*
	(0.010)	(0.057)	(0.013)	(0.065)	(0.011)	(0.034)
Panel B. Use only 1 <sup>st</sup> moment						
Posterior mean	-0.305***	-1.695***	-0.325***	-1.158**	-0.208***	-1.452***
	(0.066)	(0.400)	(0.078)	(0.501)	(0.071)	(0.267)
1 <sup>st</sup> stage F-stat (mean)	208.3	200.1	206.6	212.8	207.3	202.6
The to	tal affact of i	nflation av	nactations is	nogatival		

**The total effect of inflation expectations is negative!** The direct effect is positive but the indirect effect via uncertainty is stronger.

	Dependent variable: 100×indicator variable is a good is purchased.					
	Home	Durable	Car	Holiday package	Luxury items	Other
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A. IV						
Posterior mean	0.421	4.812***	0.483	1.934	0.539*	0.451
	(0.268)	(1.369)	(0.315)	(1.578)	(0.283)	(0.863)
100×log(Posterior uncertainty)	-0.025**	-0.230***	-0.024*	-0.091	-0.021**	-0.055*
	(0.010)	(0.057)	(0.013)	(0.065)	(0.011)	(0.034)
Panel B. OLS						
Posterior mean	0.077	-0.014	0.120	0.246	0.217***	0.685***
	(0.081)	(0.332)	(0.083)	(0.273)	(0.082)	(0.215)
100×log(Posterior uncertainty)	-0.131	3.383**	0.140	0.086	-0.465	-0.790
	(0.430)	(1.645)	(0.312)	(1.351)	(0.339)	(1.074)

**The RCT/IV approach are essential to the identification.** With OLS, effects are much smaller and generally insignificant.

### **PURCHASES OF DURABLES: DYNAMICS**



The effect dissipates after  $\sim 4$  months.

### **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

- With multiple treatments in an RCT, we can separate direct and indirect effects of expectations changes on decisions.
- This is particularly important for inflation expectations, since the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> moments are strongly positively correlated but generally have opposing effects on decisions.

### **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

- With multiple treatments in an RCT, we can separate direct and indirect effects of expectations changes on decisions.
- This is particularly important for inflation expectations, since the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> moments are strongly positively correlated but generally have opposing effects on decisions.
- Large and persistent effects of inflation uncertainty on household durable goods purchases, their **portfolio allocations**, and their **labor supply** decisions, net of first moment effects

### **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

- With multiple treatments in an RCT, we can separate direct and indirect effects of expectations changes on decisions.
- This is particularly important for inflation expectations, since the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> moments are strongly positively correlated but generally have opposing effects on decisions.
- Large and persistent effects of inflation uncertainty on household **durable goods** purchases, their **portfolio allocations**, and their **labor supply** decisions, net of first moment effects
- For policy purposes, the total effect is generally the most relevant statistic. But even in that case, knowing how decisions respond to inflation expectations and uncertainty can be useful in designing communications.
  - To boost spending, we could try to raise inflation expectations **OR** reduce inflation Ο uncertainty (doing both would be particularly effective).
  - Communication should focus on policy objectives rather than instruments? Ο