

Discussion of

**Futures Prices as Risk-Adjusted Forecasts of
Monetary Policy**

Frank Schorfheide

Department of Economics, University of Pennsylvania

Summary of Paper

- Question: is it true that

$$\tilde{F}_t^{(n)} = \mathbf{IE}_t[\tilde{R}_{t+n}]? \quad (1)$$

Here: \tilde{R}_t is Fed Funds Rate, $\tilde{F}_t^{(n)}$ is Fed Funds Future Rate.

- Answer: no! In order to obtain $\mathbf{IE}_t[\tilde{R}_{t+n}]$ futures rates have to be risk-adjusted.

- Findings:

- Adjustments are substantial: $\tilde{F}_t^{(4)} = \mathbf{IE}_t[\tilde{R}_{t+4}] + 50$ basis points. (1 quarter horizon)

- Adjustments increase in recessions (negatively correlated with employment).

- Risk adjustments improve Fed Funds forecasts

- Very interesting empirical work!

Context (I)

- Reduced Form VAR:

$$y_t = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1 y_{t-1} + u_t, \quad u_t \sim (0, \Sigma_u) \quad (2)$$

- Structural VAR:

$$y_t = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1 y_{t-1} + \Sigma_u^{chol} \Omega \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim (0, I) \quad (3)$$

ϵ_t 's are “structural”, Ω is orthonormal and cannot be estimated.

- Alternative:

$$\text{Monetary Policy Shock} = \epsilon_{R,t} \equiv R_t - \mathbf{E}_{t-\delta}[R_t] \stackrel{?}{=} F_{t-\delta}^\delta \quad (4)$$

Context (II)

- Suppose monetary policy follows:

$$\frac{R_t}{R^*} = \left(\frac{R_{t-1}}{R^*} \right)^{\rho_R} \left[\left(\frac{\pi_t}{\pi^*} \right)^{\psi_1} \left(\frac{X_t}{X_t^*} \right)^{\psi_2} \right]^{(1-\rho_R)} e^{\epsilon_{R,t}}. \quad (5)$$

- Then (take log deviations: $\tilde{R}_t = \ln(R_t/R^*)$):

$$\tilde{R}_t - \mathbf{IE}_{t-1}[\tilde{R}_t] = \epsilon_{R,t} + (1 - \rho_R)\psi_1(\tilde{\pi}_t - \mathbf{IE}_{t-1}[\tilde{\pi}_t]) + (1 - \rho_R)\psi_2(\tilde{X}_t - \mathbf{IE}_{t-1}[\tilde{X}_t]) \quad (6)$$

- Ideally we want

$$\tilde{R}_t - \mathbf{IE}[\tilde{R}_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}, \pi_t, \tilde{X}_t] \quad (7)$$

to identify policy shock. Generates some delicate timing issues.

- E.g., Faust, Swanson, and Wright (JME, 2004). But Monika and Eric's paper does not focus on VAR identification...

Outline for Remainder of Discussion

- What does a prototypical monetary DSGE model have to say about the adjustment?
- Introduce Fed Funds Futures
- Derive a pricing formula, assuming log-normality: conditional covariance of real rates and output growth / consumption growth matter.
- Estimate VAR and calculate adjustment, compare to Monika and Eric's estimates.
- Outlook

A Simple Monetary DSGE Model (I)

- Households maximize:

$$E_t \left[\sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \beta^{s-t} \left(\frac{C_s^{1-\tau} - 1}{1-\tau} + \chi \log \frac{M_s}{P_s} - h_s \right) \right], \quad (8)$$

- Consumption C_t is composed of differentiated products:

$$C_t = \left[\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} \right]^{\frac{\nu}{\nu-1}} \quad (9)$$

- Budget constraint:

$$C_t + \frac{B_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t}{P_t} + \frac{T_t}{P_t} = W_t h_t + \frac{M_{t-1}}{P_t} + R_{t-1} \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_t} + Q_{t-1} \frac{F_{t-1} - R_t}{P_t} + D_t. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, households have access to a complete set of state-contingent claims.

A Simple Monetary DSGE Model (II)

- Household has access to Fed Future contracts. Budget constraint:

$$\dots = \dots + Q_{t-1} \frac{F_{t-1} - R_t}{P_t} + \dots$$

- At $t - 1$ choose quantity Q_{t-1} , but no payments yet. Contracts are settled in period t . F_{t-1} is Futures rate.
- Production side: monopolistic competition, Calvo-style price rigidities.
- Monetary policy:

$$\frac{R_t}{R^*} = \left(\frac{R_{t-1}}{R^*} \right)^{\rho_R} \left[\left(\frac{\pi_t}{\pi^*} \right)^{\psi_1} \left(\frac{X_t}{X_t^*} \right)^{\psi_2} \right]^{(1-\rho_R)} e^{\epsilon_{R,t}}. \quad (11)$$

A Simple Monetary DSGE Model (II)

- First-order conditions imply

$$\mathbb{E}_{t-1} \left[\left(\frac{C_t}{C_{t-1}} \right)^{-\tau} \frac{F_{t-1}}{\pi_t} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{t-1} \left[\left(\frac{C_t}{C_{t-1}} \right)^{-\tau} \frac{R_t}{\pi_t} \right] \quad (12)$$

- which yields

$$F_{t-1} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{t-1} \left[\left(\frac{C_t}{C_{t-1}} \right)^{-\tau} \frac{R_t}{\pi_t} \right]}{\mathbb{E}_{t-1} \left[\left(\frac{C_t}{C_{t-1}} \right)^{-\tau} \frac{1}{\pi_t} \right]} \quad (13)$$

- Define $M_t^C = \frac{(C_t/C_{t-1})^{-\tau}}{\pi_t}$, then

$$F_{t-1} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{t-1}[M_t^C R_t]}{\mathbb{E}_{t-1}[M_t^C]} \quad (14)$$

A Simple Monetary DSGE Model (II)

- Assume M_t^C and R_t are jointly log-normally distributed conditional on time $t - 1$ information.
- Use \tilde{F}_{t-1} , \tilde{M}_t^C , and \tilde{R}_t to denote percentage deviations from steady state.
- Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{F}_{t-1} &= \mathbb{E}_{t-1}[\tilde{R}_t] + \mathbb{E}_{t-1}[\tilde{M}_t^C] + \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{var}_{t-1}[\tilde{R}_t] + \text{var}_{t-1}[\tilde{M}_t^C] + 2\text{cov}_{t-1}[\tilde{R}_t, \tilde{M}_t^C] \right) \\
 &\quad - \mathbb{E}_{t-1}[\tilde{M}_t^C] - \frac{1}{2} \text{var}_{t-1}[\tilde{M}_t^C] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{t-1}[\tilde{R}_t] + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \text{var}_{t-1}[\tilde{R}_t] + \text{cov}_{t-1}[\tilde{R}_t, \tilde{M}_t^C]}_{\text{Risk-Adjustment, positive according to estimates}} \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Roughly speaking, we need negative conditional correlation between real rate and consumption growth.

Risk Adjustments (I)

- Estimate DSGE-VAR (Del Negro and Schorfheide, *International Economic Review*, 2004) to obtain estimates for conditional variances.
- In my simple framework I cannot explain the time variation in the adjustment that Monika and Eric find unless I introduce heteroskedasticity:
Recession \implies stronger negative correlation between consumption growth and real rate.
- Sample period: 1989:I to 2003:III. 4 lags (selected using Bayesian posterior odds),
 - Output growth, inflation (GDP deflator), Fed Funds Rate
 - Consumption growth, inflation (GDP deflator), Fed Funds Rate

All rates are quarter-to-quarter percentages.

Risk Adjustments (II)

- Stochastic discount factor:

$$\widetilde{M}_t^X = -\tau(\widetilde{X}_t - \widetilde{X}_{t-1}) - \widetilde{\pi}_t \quad (16)$$

where \widetilde{X}_t is consumption or output.

- Risk aversion: $\tau = 1, 2, 5, 10$.

Posterior for Adjustment (I)

| τ | Mean | CI(low) | CI(high) | P & S |
|--------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0.086 | -0.009 | 0.181 | 0.499 |
| 2 | 0.121 | -0.046 | 0.290 | 0.499 |
| 5 | 0.226 | -0.175 | 0.621 | 0.499 |
| 10 | 0.402 | -0.387 | 1.186 | 0.499 |

Note: I report posterior mean 90 % probability intervals based on the output growth VAR.

P & S value: Table 1, $n = 3$.

Posterior for Adjustment (II)

| τ | Mean | CI(low) | CI(high) | P & S |
|--------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| 1 | 0.109 | 0.021 | 0.201 | 0.499 |
| 2 | 0.173 | 0.020 | 0.324 | 0.499 |
| 5 | 0.363 | 0.011 | 0.708 | 0.499 |
| 10 | 0.681 | -0.012 | 1.351 | 0.499 |

Note: I report posterior mean 90 % probability intervals based on the consumption growth VAR. P & S value: Table 1, $n = 3$.

Further Comments and Outlook

- I enjoyed reading the paper...
- it has very interesting empirical results - certainly relevant for VAR studies that identify policy shocks using Futures data.
- Next step: study pricing models for Fed Funds Futures – fit VAR or DSGE model to obtain estimates of conditional moments needed for pricing formula and check whether we can explain magnitude of adjustment and time-variation.