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- Ambiguous ex-ante: valuation, rollover, higher fiscal spending.
- High debts following Covid and heterogeneous maturity.
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- Test conditional effect of public debt maturity on monetary policy transmission on US and UK data.
- Narrative account of maturity choices. Exogenous with respect to the monetary policy cycle.
- Model with financial accelerator and primary market friction.
- Friction microfounded and estimated with novel high frequency identification.
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- Monetary policy tightening with higher debt duration: government borrows less, corporates borrow more at a cheaper rate and invest more.

⇒ Financing channel of monetary policy.

- Direct evidence: exogenous decrease in public debt supply decreases government and corporate yields.
Main Results

- Model matches empirical result with small friction.
- Complementarity between financial accelerator and primary market friction.
- Maturity is key.
- Increasing rates is not as costly to fight inflation with long maturity.
- Segmented asset markets are crucial for transmission of monetary policy.
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Duration-to-GDP

- Take one country with 100% of public debt to GDP and no further financing needs.
- 1 percentage point permanent increase in interest rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debt Maturity</th>
<th>New Debt Market Value</th>
<th>Debt Servicing Cost Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>90% of GDP</td>
<td>0% of GDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight</td>
<td>100% of GDP</td>
<td>10% of GDP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Definition** Duration-to-GDP: how much the market value of public debt to GDP declines following a one percent increase in interest rates.
- **Proposition:** If change is permanent, duration-to-GDP is the NPV of debt servicing costs savings compared with overnight debt on existing debt.

\[
DurGDP_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j}{12} q_{t,j} b_{t,j} \frac{GDP_t}{GDP_t}
\]
Duration-to-GDP in the US

- Long regimes.
- Negatively correlated with UK measure
- Build from bond data: marketable, held by the private sector.
- Alternative duration metrics
Narrative Account of Maturity Choices in the US

- Political and legal constraint made the maturity structure choices *exogenous* with respect to the monetary policy cycle.
- In 1918, a law instituted a 4.25% rate ceiling on long bonds.
- Gradual repeal from the early 70s up to 1988.
- Change in objective in 1993 (more focus on costs) and in mid aught (more focus on insurance).
Empirical Methodology

- Non-linear univariate local projections à la Jordà.
- Reduced form regressions

\[ y_{t+h} = \beta_{0,h} + \beta_{1,h} Shock_t + \beta_{2,h} Shock_t DurGDP_{t-1} + \beta_{3,h}(L)' W_t + \varepsilon_{t+h} \]

- Identification of monetary policy shocks: narrative, high frequency, and recursive.
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Sensitivity

- Quarterly results.
- Econometric Method:
  - LP-IV results.
  - Lag-Augmentation.
- Measuring debt maturity:
  - Macaulay duration.
  - Inclusion of inflation linked debt.
  - Face Value Debt.
  - Also FED Holdings.
  - Long debt over GDP.
  - Smooth Transition.
- Identification of monetary policy:
  - High frequency identification.
  - No Recursiveness assumption.
  - Recursive/Cholesky identification.
- Identification of maturity structure:
  - Narrative Account.
  - Confounding factors and IV approach.
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Economic Mechanism

- Government has a relative windfall following rate hike with more long duration debt.
- Budget constraint implies: reduce borrowing and/or increase the primary deficit → in the data.
- Financing channel:

  Government Borrowing ↓

  Non-Financial Corporates

  Debt Issuance ↑
  Debt Cost ↓

  Investment Leverage ↑

  Output ↑

  direct crowding-out in debt markets
Model of the Financing Channel

- Build on New Keynesian model with financial accelerator, from Bernanke, Gertler and Gilchrist (1999).
  - Firms’ balance sheets matter.
  - Mapping between spreads, leverage, and investment.
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- Build on New Keynesian model with financial accelerator, from Bernanke, Gertler and Gilchrist (1999).
- Long maturity fixed nominal interest rate government debt.
- Financial friction on primary market dealers.
  - Congestion effects.
  - Microfounded.
  - Estimated with new high frequency identification on exogenous public debt supply shocks.
Model of the Financing Channel

- Build on New Keynesian model with financial accelerator, from Bernanke, Gertler and Gilchrist (1999).
- Long maturity fixed nominal interest rate government debt.
- Financial friction on primary market dealers.
- Counterfactual analysis.
  - Effect of contractionary monetary policy shock.
  - Compare short (1 quarter) vs long (4 years) maturity regimes.
Model Results

- Prove in close form duration-to-GDP equivalence.
  - Market value $\leftrightarrow$ debt servicing costs.
  - For a permanent increase in interest rates, duration-to-GDP measures:
    1. Decline in market value in public debt to GDP.
    2. Net present value of debt servicing cost saving to GDP that the current maturity allows on existing debt compared to a one period debt maturity.
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- Complementarity between primary market friction and financial accelerator.
  - Small primary market friction in partial equilibrium
  - Large macro effects in general equilibrium.
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- Complementarity between primary market friction and financial accelerator.
- Maturity is key.
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Model Results

- Prove in close form duration-to-GDP equivalence.
- With low maturity debt more amplification.
- Complementarity between primary market friction and financial accelerator.
- Maturity is key.
  - Experiment: fix debt, vary maturity.
  - If we fix maturity, how much do we need to vary debt to obtain same difference?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debt (% of GDP)</th>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>Difference in output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40% From 0% to 700%</td>
<td>From 4 years to 1 quarter, 4 years</td>
<td>31% 31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conclusion

Public debt maturity matters for monetary policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What?</th>
<th>From long to short debt: monetary policy on output ×2. Impact on activity, not on price level.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>So what?</td>
<td>With longer maturity, not as costly to increase rates on output. Segmented asset markets are crucial for monetary policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank You!
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