Financial Liberalization, Debt Mismatch, Allocative Efficiency and Growth by Romain Ranciere & Aaron Tornell

> Martin Schneider Stanford & NBER

SF Fed, May 2015

Summary

- Model of growth & crises
 - two sector AK structure: Tradables & Nontradables (capital intensive)
 - \blacktriangleright N sector firms face financial frictions \rightarrow balance sheet effects
- Sunspot equilibria
 - crisis = self-fulfilling drop in N price + many N sector defaults
 - crisis risk if N sector issues enough T denominated debt
- Role of asset structure ("stages of financial liberalization")
 - if contracts allow more contingencies, get more leverage
 - $\rightarrow\,$ more investment & growth, but also more crisis risk
- Cross country evidence
 - compare output loss in crises & leverage through lens of model
 - $\rightarrow\,$ conclude that benefit of fast growth outweighs costs from crisis risk

Discussion

- review model ingredients
- comment on connection to data

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Benchmark 2 sector AK model

• Preferences (workers, entrepreneurs); world interest rate δ^{-1}

$$\sum_t \delta^t c_t^i$$

Technology

$$\sum_{i} c_t^i = n_t^lpha l_t^{1-lpha} + ext{net foreign stuff}$$
 (tradables)
 $n_t + q_{t+1} = heta q_t$ (nontradables)

- Planner problem
 - constant investment rate $q_{t+1} = \phi^* heta q_t$
 - "capital deepening": N capital grows faster than T output
- Competitive equilibrium

$$n(p_t) + \phi^* \theta q_t = \theta q_t$$

T sector input demand n(.) decreasing in p_t = relative price of N goods p_t

Mechanics: balance sheet effects & multiple equilibria

• Replace investment rate ϕ^{*} by $\phi\left(w_{t}
ight) < \phi^{*}$

$$n(p_t) + \phi(w_t)\theta q_t = \theta q_t$$

▶ balance sheet effect: ϕ increasing in $w_t = N$ sector net worth

• N sector net worth increasing in price

$$w_t = w(w_{t-1}, p_t) + +$$

- \blacktriangleright strong balance sheet effect \rightarrow backward bending demand for N goods
- Areas of state space with multiple market clearing prices
 - hi or lo price, net worth w_t , investment, demand
- Rational expectations equilibria driven by binary sunspot s_t
 - ▶ price process $p(w_{t-1}, s_t)$: sunspot selects hi or lo price
- Hard work in paper
 - set up "credit market game" with explicit financial frictions
 - derive w(.) as optimal strategy of N sector firms
 - obtain further predictions on risk taking & role of asset structure

Mechanics: balance sheet effects & multiple equilibria

• Replace investment rate ϕ^* by $\phi(w_t) < \phi^*$

$$n(p_t) + \phi(w_t)\theta q_t = \theta q_t$$

balance sheet effect: \$\phi\$ increasing in \$w_t\$ = N sector net worth
N sector net worth increasing in price

$$w_t = w(w_{t-1}, p_t) + +$$

- \blacktriangleright strong balance sheet effect \rightarrow backward bending demand for N goods
- Areas of state space with multiple market clearing prices
 - \blacktriangleright hi or lo price, net worth w_t , investment, demand
- Rational expectations equilibria driven by binary sunspot s_t
 - ▶ price process $p(w_{t-1}, s_t)$: sunspot selects hi or lo price
- Hard work in paper
 - set up "credit market game" with explicit financial frictions
 - derive w(.) as optimal strategy of N sector firms
 - obtain further predictions on risk taking & role of asset structure

Credit market game (played every period)

- N sector firms & risk neutral lenders (break even)
- Financial frictions faced by N sector
 - firms cannot commit to repay debt
 - ★ limited debt capacity; net worth matters for investment
 - systemic bailout guarantee (lump sum taxes used to pay lenders if many defaults)
 - * debt capacity still limited (no insurance against individual default)
 - debt capacity higher (present value of bailout = capital)
 - ★ incentive to default when others default (price low!)
- Debt denomination: a tool to exploit bailout guarantees
 - denominate debt in T goods to default when N good price is low
 - ▶ if bailout expected, achieve higher debt capacity & ex ante investment

通 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Equilibria under different asset structures

- Sunspot not relevant if
 - only N debt can be issued by N firms
 - N sector small (balance sheet effect not strong enough)
- Self-fulfilling creation of crisis risk if enough T debt issued
 - suppose sunspot can trigger crisis tomorrow
 - systemic bailout expected in bad equilibrium tomorrow
 - coordinated risk taking profitable today
 - all firms denominate debt in T goods today
 - sunspot can indeed trigger crisis
- Welfare comparisons: 2nd best world with competing distortions
 - underinvestment from commitment problem...
 - ... alleviated by use of T debt + bailouts
 - whether allowing T debt is better depends on parameters, taxation

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Quantitative analysis of financial frictions

- Approach 1: "Summary statistics" for welfare effects
 - in stylized model, find number that captures welfare effects
 - e.g. wedges from frictionless FOC, or (here) leverage vs crisis loss
 - collect observable counterparts for many countries/industries/firms
 - interpret cross section as driven by as differences in frictions
- "Summary statistics" approach
 - leans heavily on model structure to derive statistic
 - assumes model applies equally to all countries etc
- "Summary statistics" approach does not
 - provide evidence in favor of model structure
 - explicitly handle other sources of variation across countries etc
- Approach may uncover x-sectional patterns, but welfare conclusions?

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Quantitative analysis of financial frictions

- Approach 2: "Country studies" using DSGE models
 - use country specific data to inform technology, financial structure
 - ★ what are key N goods (capital intensity, durability housing vs other)
 - ★ how important is external finance in N sector
 - ★ availability of different securities
 - arrive at joint distribution of financial & macro variables
 - ★ compare to data over transition episode
 - ★ do spreads on N sector firms reflect bailout guarantees?
 - ★ does volatility vary across areas of state space?
 - ★ investment vs employment over cycle
- "Country studies approach"
 - confronts model's cross equation restrictions with data
 - failures & caveats more apparent
 - welfare conclusions easier to interpret